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CONTINUED
AGENDA
PUBLIC MEETING NO. 17-07011 JULY 11, 2017, 10:33 a.m.
ITEM
PAGE VOTE
7 Consideration of the initial application 1920
of Lloyd J. Reynolds for a casino key
employee license (DKT-7-0010-CK)
Consideration of the resubmission
8 application of David P. Marshall for a 2123
casino key employee license
(DKT-14-0032-CR)
Joint Petition of Caesars Entertainment
9 Corporation, Harrah's Atlantic City 2327
Operating Company, LLC, Bally's Park
Place, Inc., Boardwalk Regency
Corporation and Caesars Interactive
Entertainment New Jersey, LLC,
requesting permission for Jess M. Ravich
to assume the duties and exercise the
powers aa $s$ member of the board of
directors for Caesars Entertainment
Corporation pending plenary
qualification (PRN 1561701)
10 Joint Petition of Caesars Entertainment 27176
Corporation, CEOC, LLC, Successor in
Interest to Caesars Entertainment
Operating Company, Inc., Boardwalk
Regency, LLC, Successor in Interest to
Boardwalk Regency Corporation anbd
Bally's Park Place, LLC, Successor in
Interest to Bally's Park Place, Inc.,
for approval of: (I) The reorganization
of Caesars Entertainment Operating
Company and related relief; (II) The
merger of Caesars Acquisition
Corporation with and into Caesars
Entertainment Corporation and (III)
issuance of casino licenses to Boardwalk
Regency LLC, and Bally's Park Place,
LLC, on the same terms and conditions as their predecessor entities and other
relief (PRN 14215702)


|  | 10 |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 2 | 1 | ITEM NO. 2 |
| 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion on the | 2 | Second? |
| 3 | minutes? | 3 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 4 | (No response.) | 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion? |
| 5 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | (Ayes.) | 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? |
| 7 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? | 7 | (Ayes.) |
| 8 | (No response.) | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? |
| 9 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 9 | (No response.) |
| 10 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. |
| 11 | The next matter for your consideration | 11 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. |
| 12 | are applications for initial casino key | 12 | 2 b is Danny Huynh. Again, an objection |
| 13 | employee licenses. There are several that are | 13 | has been raised and a recommendation of the |
| 14 | identified on your agenda as A through F. I | 14 | matter be remanded to the contested case |
| 15 | will call A and B separately, as there are | 15 | process for the hearing. |
| 16 | objections that have been raised with respect | 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 17 | to those matters. | 17 | I'd entertain a motion. |
| 18 | The other matters, there are no | 18 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I |
| 19 | objection, and the recommendation is that you | 19 | move to remand for a hearing the initial casino |
| 20 | grant those licenses. | 20 | key employee license application of Danny |
| 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 21 | Huynh. |
| 22 | I'll entertain a motion for 2c, 2d, 2e, | 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 23 | and 2 f ? | 23 | Second? |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I | 24 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 25 | move to grant the four initial casino key | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion on this |
|  | 11 |  | 13 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 2 | 1 | ITEM NO. 3 |
| 2 | employee licenses. | 2 | item? |
| 3 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | A second? | 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. | 5 | (Ayes.) |
| 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion on these | 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? |
| 7 | individually? | 7 | (No response.) |
| 8 | (No response.) | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. |
| 9 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 9 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. |
| 10 | (Ayes.) | 10 | Item No. 3 are applications for casino |
| 11 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? | 11 | key employee licenses and for qualification. |
| 12 | (No response.) | 12 | In that roll call votes are required, I'll call |
| 13 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 13 | each separately. |
| 14 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 14 | The first is Donald W. Kneisel, II, Vice |
| 15 | Item 2a is Stephen E. Carter. An | 15 | President, Chief Information Officer for DGMB, |
| 16 | objection has been raised, and staff recommends | 16 | LLC, and Resorts Digital Gaming, LLC. |
| 17 | that the matter be remanded to the contested | 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 18 | case process. | 18 | Is there a motion? |
| 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 19 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I move that we |
| 20 | Is there a motion for Mr. Carter? | 20 | grant the resubmitted key license and |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I move to remand | 21 | qualification with a multi-casino endorsement. |
| 22 | for a hearing, the initial casino key employee | 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 23 | application license application of Stephen E. | 23 | Second? |
| 24 | Carter. | 24 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. |
| 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion? |
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|  | 14 |  | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 3 | 1 | ITEM NO. 5 |
| 2 | (No response.) | 2 | They are: Patrick Ciboldi, Svapnil S. |
| 3 | CHAIR LEVINSON: This is a roll call | 3 | Desai, Stephen E. Frank, Rockelle D. Grant, and |
| 4 | vote. | 4 | Mehulkumar D. Patel. |
| 5 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? | 5 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. | 6 | MR. MacFADDEN: No action is required. |
| 7 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? | 7 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. | 8 | Item No. 5 are requests for inactivation |
| 9 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? | 9 | of casino key employee licenses. |
| 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yes. | 10 | Again, Mr. MacFadden will review those |
| 11 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 11 | matters with you. |
| 12 | 3 b is William C. Murtha, Executive Vice | 12 | MR. MacFADDEN: Item 5 consists of 17 |
| 13 | President, General Counsel and Secretary for | 13 | individuals who, in lieu of filing a |
| 14 | Tropicana Atlantic City Corp. | 14 | resubmission application, have requested to be |
| 15 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 15 | placed on the inactive list not to exceed five |
| 16 | I'll entertain a motion for Mr. Murtha. | 16 | years. |
| 17 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I move that we | 17 | Staff recommends granting the requested |
| 18 | grant resubmitted key license and | 18 | relief. |
| 19 | qualification. | 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 20 | Any questions on any of these |
| 21 | Second? | 21 | individually? |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. | 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion on this? | 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Hearing none -- |
| 24 | (No response.) | 24 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: No. |
| 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: This, too, is a roll | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Oh. Yeah? |
|  | 15 |  | 17 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 4 | 1 | ITEM NO. 6 |
| 2 | call vote. | 2 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: No. No. |
| 3 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? | 3 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Hearing none, I'll |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. | 4 | entertain a motion. |
| 5 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? | 5 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I move to grant |
| 6 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. | 6 | the requested relief and order that the 17 |
| 7 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? | 7 | casino key employee licenses be inactivated. |
| 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yes. | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: A second? |
| 9 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 9 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 10 | Item No. 4 are approvals through | 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? |
| 11 | Delegation of Authority between June 2 [sic], | 11 | (Ayes.) |
| 12 | 2017, and July 7, 2017, pursuant to the | 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? |
| 13 | Delegated Authority Resolution. | 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | Glenn MacFadden will review those | 14 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. |
| 15 | matters with you, but no vote is required. | 15 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Item No. 6 for your |
| 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. Good | 16 | consideration are the lapsing of several casino |
| 17 | morning. | 17 | key employee licenses. |
| 18 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. Good | 18 | Again, Mr. MacFadden will discuss those |
| 19 | morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. | 19 | with you. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Good morning. | 20 | MR. MacFADDEN: Item 6 consists of five |
| 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Item 4 consists of five | 21 | individuals whose casino key employee review |
| 22 | individuals who were issued a temporary key | 22 | deadline has passed and have neither filed the |
| 23 | casino license via Delegated Authority | 23 | resubmission paperwork nor requested to be |
| 24 | subsequent to the June 14, 2017, public | 24 | placed on the inactive list. |
| 25 | meeting. | 25 | Those individuals are: Manmeet K. |


|  | 18 |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 6 | 1 | ITEM NO. 7 |
| 2 | Chawla, Brian M. Kernan, Carmella M. Kice, | 2 | MR. BISCIEGLIA: The Division has the -- |
| 3 | Peter P. Lipuma, Jr., and Raul C. Martir. | 3 | excuse me. The Commission has the Division's |
| 4 | Consequently, staff recommends that the | 4 | letter of June 6, 2017. The Division has |
| 5 | casino key employee licenses of these five | 5 | nothing further to add but will answer any |
| 6 | individuals be allowed to lapse. | 6 | questions the Commission may have. |
| 7 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 7 | Thank you. |
| 8 | Commissioners, any questions on these | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 9 | individually? | 9 | Commissioners, any questions for either |
| 10 | (No response.) | 10 | counsel? |
| 11 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Entertain a motion? | 11 | (No response.) |
| 12 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Mr. Chairman, I | 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Hearing none, I'll |
| 13 | move that we find that the five casino key | 13 | entertain a motion? |
| 14 | employee licenses lapsed pursuant to NJAC | 14 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I |
| 15 | 19:41A-6.1(f) of the regulations. | 15 | move to grant the initial application of Lloyd |
| 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 16 | J. Reynolds for a casino key employee license. |
| 17 | Is there a second? | 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. | 18 | Is there a second? |
| 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 19 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 20 | (Ayes.) | 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion? |
| 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? | 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | (No response.) | 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? |
| 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 23 | (Ayes.) |
| 24 | MR. MacFADDEN: Thank you. | 24 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? |
| 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 25 | (No response.) |
|  | 19 |  | 21 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 7 | 1 | ITEM NO. 8 |
| 2 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Item No. 7 is the | 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. |
| 3 | initial application of Lloyd J. Reynolds for a | 3 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. |
| 4 | casino key employee license. | 4 | Item No. 8 is the resubmission |
| 5 | Senior Counsel Pimpinelli is here to | 5 | application of David P. Marshall for a casino |
| 6 | review that with you. | 6 | key employee license. |
| 7 | MS. PIMPINELLI: Good morning, Chair and | 7 | Again, Senior Counsel Pimpinelli will |
| 8 | Commissioners. | 8 | review that with you. |
| 9 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Good morning. | 9 | MS. PIMPINELLI: Chairman and |
| 10 | MS. PIMPINELLI: For your consideration | 10 | Commissioners -- |
| 11 | is the initial application of Lloyd J. Reynolds | 11 | (Conferring.) |
| 12 | for his casino key employee license. | 12 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Let's take a brief |
| 13 | The Commission remanded this matter to | 13 | recess. |
| 14 | the contested case hearing process at its April | 14 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Okay. |
| 15 | 17, 2017 meeting. Subsequent to that and after | 15 | (There was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 16 | meeting with Mr. Reynolds, the Division filed a | 16 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: No. 8 again is David |
| 17 | supplement letter recommending that the | 17 | Marshall. This matter is before you as a |
| 18 | Commission grant the pending application. | 18 | resubmission application. There's an objection |
| 19 | Brian Biscieglia is here on behalf of | 19 | that's been raised. Mr. Marshall did not |
| 20 | the Division. | 20 | complete the remand process, and as a result, |
| 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 21 | now the matter is before you with a request |
| 22 | Mr. Biscieglia? | 22 | that it be denied. |
| 23 | MR. BISCIEGLIA: Thank you. Good | 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 24 | morning, Chair and Commissioners. | 24 | Mr. Biscieglia? |
| 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Good morning. | 25 | MR. BISCIEGLIA: Thank you. |
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|  | 22 |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 8 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | Mr. Marshall has unfortunately been | 2 | Caesars Entertainment Corp., Harrah's Atlantic |
| 3 | unresponsive to both the Division and | 3 | City Operating Company, LLC, Bally's Park |
| 4 | Commission communication. It was discovered | 4 | Place, Inc., Boardwalk Regency Corporation, and |
| 5 | that Mr. Marshall had relocated to Ohio, so it | 5 | Caesars Interactive Entertainment New Jersey, |
| 6 | is believed that we had his most current | 6 | LLC, requesting permission for Jess M. Ravich |
| 7 | address, and both the Division and the | 7 | to assume the duties and exercise the powers as |
| 8 | Commission had attempted to contact him, and | 8 | member of the board of directors for Caesars |
| 9 | two conferences were scheduled that he did not | 9 | Interactive, pending his plenary qualification. |
| 10 | appear at. And with his failure to appear | 10 | Senior Counsel Casey will take you |
| 11 | today, the Division must ask that his | 11 | through that matter. |
| 12 | application be denied. | 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 13 | Thank you. | 13 | MS. CASEY: Thank you. |
| 14 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 14 | Good morning, Chair, Commissioners. |
| 15 | So he was actually -- we know that he | 15 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Good morning. |
| 16 | was receiving these files? These weren't | 16 | MS. CASEY: As Miss Fauntleroy just |
| 17 | coming back? | 17 | stated, before you is the Joint Petition of the |
| 18 | MR. BISCIEGLIA: Yes. The first | 18 | Caesars entities for the permission for Jess M. |
| 19 | conference with scheduled, we sent a letter to | 19 | Ravich to assume the duties and exercise the |
| 20 | his former address. But after he didn't show | 20 | powers as a member of the board of directors of |
| 21 | up, in the interest of making sure he was | 21 | Caesars Entertainment Corporation pending |
| 22 | aware, I personally had a search done for his | 22 | plenary qualification. |
| 23 | most current address, which was shown to be in | 23 | The parties are represented. Miss |
| 24 | Ohio, and further communication was sent there. | 24 | Berman is here on behalf of the Division. Mr. |
| 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 25 | Ago -- I'm sorry. Mr. O'Gara is here on behalf |
|  | 23 |  | 25 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 9 |
| 2 | And I know both agencies tried very hard | 2 | of the Caesars -- |
| 3 | to contact him. | 3 | MR. O'GARA: Mr. Agnellini? I can |
| 4 | Commissioners, any further questions? | 4 | arrange this. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: No questions. | 5 | MS. CASEY: You look really good today. |
| 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: I'll entertain a | 6 | MR. O'GARA: I can arrange that. |
| 7 | motion. | 7 | (Laughter.) |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I'm sorry. | 8 | MS. CASEY: A Draft Resolution was |
| 9 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: No. Okay. | 9 | circulated to the parties. |
| 10 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I move that we | 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you very much. |
| 11 | find David P. Marshall disqualified pursuant to | 11 | Mr. O'Gara? |
| 12 | NJSA 5:12-80(b) and (d), NJSA 5:12-86(b), NJSA | 12 | MR. O'GARA: If you'll recall, at -- I |
| 13 | 5:12-89(b) and; A, deny his resubmitted | 13 | believe a month ago there were nine -- seven of |
| 14 | application for a casino key employee license; | 14 | the individuals that were going on the board |
| 15 | and, B, revoke his casino employee license. | 15 | temporarily qualified. Mr. Ravich had not. |
| 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 16 | His fingerprints had not come through and he |
| 17 | Is there a second? | 17 | was not processed. Mr. Ravich will become the |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. | 18 | tenth, and we'd ask that you so find him. |
| 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? | 19 | And we reviewed the Resolution and have |
| 20 | (Ayes.) | 20 | no problem. |
| 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? | 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you very much. |
| 22 | (No response.) | 22 | Division? |
| 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. | 23 | MS. BERMAN: Good morning, Chairman and |
| 24 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Thank you. | 24 | Commissioners. My name is Joanne Berman. I'm |
| 25 | Item No. 9 is the Joint Petition of | 25 | a deputy attorney general for the Division of |


|  | 26 |  | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 9 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | Gaming Enforcement. | 2 | issuance of casino licenses to Boardwalk |
| 3 | We filed a letter of no objection to Mr. | 3 | Regency, LLC, and Bally's Park Place, LLC, on |
| 4 | Ravich's temporary qualification on June 22nd. | 4 | the same terms and conditions as the |
| 5 | We also have reviewed the Draft | 5 | predecessor entities and other relief. That is |
| 6 | Resolution, and it is acceptable to us. | 6 | Petition No. 1431702. |
| 7 | Thank you. | 7 | Item No. 11 is the Petition of CEOC, |
| 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 8 | LLC, Successor in Interest to Caesars |
| 9 | Commissioners, any questions for either | 9 | Entertainment Operating Company, Inc., |
| 10 | counsel? | 10 | Boardwalk Regency, LLC, Successor in Interest |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: No. | 11 | to Boardwalk Regency Company, and Bally's Park |
| 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: I'll entertain a | 12 | Place, LLC, Successor in Interest to Bally's |
| 13 | motion. | 13 | Park Place, Inc., for: One, approval of a |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Mr. Chairman, I | 14 | Management Agreement pursuant to NJSA |
| 15 | move to adopt the Draft Resolution and | 15 | 5:12-82(c); and, two, issuance of a casino |
| 16 | authorize Jess M. Ravich on temporary basis and | 16 | license to nonCPLV Manager and other relief. |
| 17 | prior to his plenary qualification to assume | 17 | That would be Petition No. 1301701. |
| 18 | the duties and exercise the powers as a member | 18 | Again, they'll be addressed jointly. |
| 19 | of the board of directors for Caesars | 19 | Senior Counsel Casey will lead us through that |
| 20 | Entertainment Corporation subject to the | 20 | matter. |
| 21 | conditions contained in NJSA 5:12-85.1c and | 21 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 22 | NJAC 13:69C-2.7. | 22 | MS. CASEY: Thank you again. |
| 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 23 | For your consideration are these two |
| 24 | Is there a second? | 24 | Petitions from the Caesars Enterprise entities, |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. | 25 | as Miss Fauntleroy has just described. The |
|  | 27 |  | 29 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion? | 2 | Petitions are the second and third filed by |
| 3 | (No response.) | 3 | Petitioners in a series, requesting various |
| 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: This is a roll call | 4 | relief as indicated, stemming from the Third |
| 5 | vote. | 5 | Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization confirmed |
| 6 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? | 6 | by the United States Bankruptcy Court on |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. | 7 | January 17th, 2017. |
| 8 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? | 8 | The parties are represented. Mr. O'Gara |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. | 9 | is here on behalf of the Caesars entities, and |
| 10 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? | 10 | Ms. Richardson and Ms. Berman are here on |
| 11 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yes. | 11 | behalf of the Division. |
| 12 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Okay. Item No. 10 and | 12 | A Draft Resolution -- Draft Resolutions |
| 13 | 11 we will address together. | 13 | were circulated to the parties prior to this |
| 14 | Item No. 10 is the Joint Petition of | 14 | meeting. |
| 15 | Caesars Entertainment Corporation, CEOC, LLC, | 15 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 16 | Successor in Interest to Caesars Entertainment | 16 | Counsel, just again make your |
| 17 | Operating Company, Inc., Boardwalk Regency, | 17 | appearances known for the record. |
| 18 | LLC, Successor in Interest to Boardwalk Regency | 18 | MR. O'GARA: Yeah. Paul O'Gara from |
| 19 | Corp., and Bally's Park Place, LLC, Successor | 19 | Brownstein for Caesars. |
| 20 | in Interest to Bally's Park Place, Inc., for | 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 21 | approval of: One, the reorganization of | 21 | MS. BERMAN: Joanne Berman for the |
| 22 | Caesars Entertainment Operating Company and | 22 | Division of Gaming Enforcement. |
| 23 | related relief; two, the merger of Caesars | 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 24 | Acquisition Corporation with and into Caesars | 24 | MS. RICHARDSON: Good morning, Chairman |
| 25 | Entertainment Corporation; and, three, the | 25 | and Commissioners. Tracy Richardson, Deputy |


|  | 30 |  | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | Attorney General, for the Division of Gaming | 2 | MR. O'GARA: No. They're -- we |
| 3 | Enforcement. | 3 | requested it. |
| 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yup. |
| 5 | Daryl, can you mark the exhibits, | 5 | MR. O'GARA: It's just some forecasted |
| 6 | please? | 6 | numbers. It's in the longer of the three. |
| 7 | MR. NANCE: Sure. Commissioners, the | 7 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Understood. |
| 8 | premarked exhibits are as follows. The | 8 | Division, any objection? |
| 9 | Petitioners submitted one exhibit premarked as | 9 | MS. BERMAN: No objection. |
| 10 | P-1. | 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Okay. Commissioners, |
| 11 | P-1, Boardwalk Regency, LLC, and Bally | 11 | any questions on the exhibits or the redaction? |
| 12 | Park Place, LLC, NJ CCC Presentation dated July | 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | 11, 2017, which are charts, 12 pages. | 13 | CHAIR LEVINSON: I move that -- I will |
| 14 | The Division of Gaming Enforcement | 14 | make a motion that we grant the Petitioners' |
| 15 | submitted three exhibits premarked as D-1 to | 15 | sealing requests and the Exhibits P-1, D-1, |
| 16 | D-3. | 16 | D-2, and D-3 as redacted be admitted into |
| 17 | D-1 is a letter report dated June 23rd, | 17 | evidence. |
| 18 | 2017, to Matthew Levinson, Chairman, CCC, from | 18 | Do I have a second? |
| 19 | Joanne Berman, Deputy Attorney General for the | 19 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Second. |
| 20 | DGE, regarding Petition of CEOC, LLC, Boardwalk | 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: All those in favor? |
| 21 | Regency, LLC, and Bally's Park Place, LLC, for | 21 | (Ayes.) |
| 22 | approval of a Management Agreement pursuant to | 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Opposed? |
| 23 | NJSA 5:12-82c, regarding Petition No. 1301701. | 23 | (No response.) |
| 24 | D-2 is a letter report dated June 23rd, | 24 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Motion carries. |
| 25 | 2017, to Matthew Levinson, Chairman, CCC, from | 25 | Mr. O'Gara, do you have any other |
|  | 31 |  | 33 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | Joanne Berman, Deputy Attorney General, DGE, | 2 | remarks? |
| 3 | regarding Petition of CEOC, LLC, Boardwalk | 3 | MR. O'GARA: Yeah. Just -- I'm |
| 4 | Regency, LLC, and, and Bally Park Place, LLC, | 4 | apparently the most ill-informed. I don't know |
| 5 | for issuance of a casino license to nonCPLV | 5 | what order we're going to do them in. I |
| 6 | Manager, LLC, Petition 1301701. | 6 | thought we were going to do the Management |
| 7 | D-3 is a report to the Casino Control | 7 | Agreement first, which is -- |
| 8 | Commission on the Petition of Caesars | 8 | MS. BERMAN: Yeah, we are. |
| 9 | Entertainment Corporation, et al., for: One, | 9 | MR. O'GARA: Okay. Just so I know, you |
| 10 | an approval of the reorganization of Caesars | 10 | know. Management Agreement. |
| 11 | Entertainment Operating Company, Inc., and | 11 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Okay. |
| 12 | related relief; two, approval the merger of | 12 | MR. O'GARA: In reality, Chairman and |
| 13 | Caesars Acquisition Company with and into | 13 | Commissioners, this is the third of a series of |
| 14 | Caesars Entertainment Corporation; and, three, | 14 | phases of approvals we've asked you to do. The |
| 15 | issuance of casino licenses to Boardwalk | 15 | first was with respect to the categorization of |
| 16 | Regency, LLC, and Bally's Park Place, LLC, on | 16 | the REIT as a CSIE and approval of the lease |
| 17 | the same terms and conditions as their | 17 | that would be the lease between what are now |
| 18 | predecessor entities and other relief, | 18 | the OPCOs, whose names you now know, and |
| 19 | regarding Petition No. 1431702. | 19 | PROPCOs, whose name you now know, which are the |
| 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 20 | REIT. |
| 21 | I also understand there are sealing | 21 | We then move through and went through |
| 22 | requests in respect to D-3? | 22 | the temporary qualifications of the directors. |
| 23 | MR. O'GARA: Yes. | 23 | And what we have now are the two Petitions |
| 24 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Mr. O'Gara, any | 24 | which we seek the approvals which will allow us |
| 25 | objections? | 25 | to consummate each of the steps for both the |


|  | 34 |  | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | merger of CEC and CAC and the reorganization of | 2 | Atlantic City on the CERP side. |
| 3 | CEOC. The reorganization of CEOC being | 3 | So we would ask that you issue it a |
| 4 | conditioned on that merger. | 4 | license and grant approval to the MLSA as a |
| 5 | The first matter I'll address is the | 5 | Management Agreement. |
| 6 | Management Agreement we seek to approve and the | 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 7 | MLSA. When we've been here before we've talked | 7 | Division, your opening remarks, please? |
| 8 | about the lease, the MLSA, which is the | 8 | MS. BERMAN: Yeah. As Mr. O'Gara |
| 9 | Management Support Lease Agreement. The MLSA | 9 | stated, pursuant to the plan, there's going to |
| 10 | as the Division describes it, Miss Berman | 10 | be a management and lease support agreement. |
| 11 | correctly, has all the indicia of a Management | 11 | It's going to govern Caesars management of |
| 12 | Agreement, as we described it, under our | 12 | operations at casino properties which will be |
| 13 | statute. There's an entity, nonCPLV Manager, | 13 | part of the real estate investment trust |
| 14 | which will have the management responsibility | 14 | structure, including those at Caesars Atlantic |
| 15 | for the New Jersey casinos, as well as the | 15 | City and Bally's Atlantic City. |
| 16 | other CEOC casinos that are part of the REIT. | 16 | Following discussions of the plan and |
| 17 | It is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CEC. | 17 | related transactions with the Division, the |
| 18 | It has two officers who are already qualified, | 18 | manager, whose formal name is nonCPLV Manager, |
| 19 | Mr. Hession, Mr. Frissora, who is the CEO. It | 19 | LLC, submitted an application for casino |
| 20 | will and in conjunction with it, the services | 20 | license, as a result of the substantial control |
| 21 | agreement by which the centralized and | 21 | and responsibility it's going to have for the |
| 22 | virtually all the services are provided to the | 22 | day-to-day operations of the successor |
| 23 | licensees presently through CES will be amended | 23 | licensees, Boardwalk Regency, LLC, and Park |
| 24 | so the services can be provided at the | 24 | Place, LLC. Those operations include gaming, |
| 25 | direction of CEC as well as CEOC so that | 25 | food and beverage, entertainment, retail, |
|  | 35 |  | 37 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | everything in terms of operationally flows the | 2 | parking, and hotel operations, as well as the |
| 3 | same way. | 3 | hiring, supervision and termination of |
| 4 | The MLSA is an overarching agreement, | 4 | employees. |
| 5 | which if you were to read it and if you read | 5 | Petitioners have requested your approval |
| 6 | the plan, is an agreement, which is kind of a | 6 | of the Management and Lease Support Agreement |
| 7 | linchpin to everything. It's the agreement in | 7 | as required by the Casino Control Act and a |
| 8 | which all the parties who had to come together | 8 | casino license for the managing entity. The |
| 9 | for CEOC to have its plan approved and then | 9 | Division has reviewed and analyzed the |
| 10 | confirmed joined, and their joinder was based | 10 | Management and Lease Support Agreement, as |
| 11 | on everything else happening. | 11 | detailed in our report dated June 23rd and |
| 12 | In addition to providing this, and very | 12 | marked as Exhibit D-1, and believes it meets |
| 13 | importantly from the creditor's eye, who became | 13 | the statutory requirements for approval which |
| 14 | owners of the REIT on the one side, is the | 14 | are found in Section 82c of the Casino Control |
| 15 | vehicle through which CEOC guaranties the lease | 15 | Act. |
| 16 | payments by CEOC under this lease. | 16 | All three parties entering into the |
| 17 | And as it met all those indicia, we | 17 | actual management arrangement in New Jersey, |
| 18 | sought a license, a casino license. We ask | 18 | which are the manager and the two successor |
| 19 | that you issue it. As I said, it has only two | 19 | licensees, are current applicants for casino |
| 20 | qualifiers. It does not have independent | 20 | licenses. And the Division is recommending |
| 21 | financials. It does not have independent | 21 | that the Commission issue these licenses today. |
| 22 | anything. It is an entity. It's most similar | 22 | The Division believes the requirement |
| 23 | thing that appears over here is CERP Manager, | 23 | that the manager own at least ten percent of |
| 24 | which is the vehicle through which the same | 24 | the equity securities of a casino licensee is |
| 25 | management services are provided to Harrah's | 25 | met through the entity structure presented |
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|  | 38 |  | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | because both the manager and the parent holding | 2 | statutory interests of the requirement have |
| 3 | company of the successor licensees will be | 3 | been met. And based on the specific |
| 4 | wholly owned by Caesars Entertainment | 4 | circumstances presented in this case and |
| 5 | Corporation, which is a qualified holding | 5 | limited to these circumstances, the Division |
| 6 | company. | 6 | recommends that the Commission so find. |
| 7 | The agreement provides for the complete | 7 | Moreover, the Manager will maintain |
| 8 | management of casino space for unrestricted | 8 | offices at the successor licenses in Atlantic |
| 9 | power to direct gaming operations and for a | 9 | City. It will maintain an electronic ledger |
| 10 | durational term that will ensure reasonable | 10 | reflecting Caesars Entertainment Corporation's |
| 11 | continuity and stability in the management of | 11 | 100 percent ownership interest in it, and it |
| 12 | gaming operations at the successor licensees. | 12 | will open a bank account at Wells Fargo in New |
| 13 | Finally, the essential parties to the | 13 | Jersey. |
| 14 | Management Agreement with respect to the New | 14 | Finally, the managing entity will have |
| 15 | Jersey casino operations have executed a | 15 | two officers and directors, both whom have been |
| 16 | supplemental agreement acknowledging that they | 16 | qualified by the Commission and remain |
| 17 | are jointly and severally liable for all | 17 | qualified in connection with their current |
| 18 | violations of the Act. | 18 | positions. Mark Frissora is one. He's Caesars |
| 19 | The Division has also conducted a | 19 | Entertainment Corporation's President and Chief |
| 20 | investigation of nonCPLV manager, which is | 20 | Executive Officer. And Eric Hession, who is |
| 21 | detailed in a separate report prepared by the | 21 | here to testify today, as Caesars Entertainment |
| 22 | Division marked as Exhibit D-2, also dated June | 22 | Corporation's Executive Vice President and |
| 23 | 23rd. We believe the Manager is qualified | 23 | Chief Financial Officer. |
| 24 | under Section 84 and meets the requirements for | 24 | Manager's Certificate of Formation |
| 25 | a casino license pursuant to Section 82 d of the | 25 | contains the requisite provisions that its |
|  | 39 |  | 41 |
| 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 | 1 | ITEM NO. 10/11 |
| 2 | Act. | 2 | purpose is to conduct casino gaming and also |
| 3 | Noting that one of the pertinent | 3 | includes provisions for prior approval of the |
| 4 | requirements is that the applicant be | 4 | Commission regarding transfer of member |
| 5 | incorporated in New Jersey, the Manager was | 5 | interests as well as describing repurchase |
| 6 | formed in Delaware, but it was formed pursuant | 6 | rights in the event the Commission disapproves |
| 7 | to a consensual plan negotiated by numerous | 7 | a transfer. |
| 8 | parties in many jurisdictions over the course | 8 | For these reasons, and as set forth more |
| 9 | of two years. | 9 | fully in our June 23rd reports, the Division |
| 10 | Manager provides certain services but is | 10 | recommends that the Commission issue a casino |
| 11 | not the actual operating casino licensee. It | 11 | license to nonCPLV Manager and approve the |
| 12 | was created to manage casino properties in | 12 | management and REIT support agreement subject |
| 13 | various jurisdictions throughout the United | 13 | to certain conditions as set forth in our |
| 14 | States, as Mr. O'Gara explained, including New | 14 | report which the Commission has captured in its |
| 15 | Jersey. | 15 | Draft Resolution in this matter. |
| 16 | Importantly, Manager's Certificate of | 16 | We have reviewed the Draft Resolution |
| 17 | Formation does provide that it shall be deemed | 17 | and have no objection to it. |
| 18 | to include and incorporate all provisions of | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | the Act. In addition, Manager is registered to | 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 20 | do business in New Jersey, which requires | 20 | Mr. O'Gara, would you like to call your |
| 21 | Manager's compliance with the corporate laws of | 21 | first witness? |
| 22 | New Jersey. Manager has, as a result, | 22 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: So the -- so the vote |
| 23 | subjected itself to the jurisdiction of the | 23 | on both will occur at the conclusion. |
| 24 | Commission and the Division. | 24 | MR. O'GARA: No problem. I'm good to |
| 25 | The Division therefore believes the | 25 | go. |


|  | 42 |  | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Do it all together. | 2 | thereafter, we engaged in negotiations of various |
| 3 | MR. O'GARA: No problem. Good to go. | 3 | degrees to get to a final plan of confirmation that |
| 4 | Tim Donovan. | 4 | was achieved earlier this year in January. |
| 5 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Daryl, as Mr. Donovan | 5 | In the -- in those two-year period -- in |
| 6 | comes up, please swear him in. | 6 | that two-year period there also was an examiner's |
| 7 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN, having been first duly | 7 | report that was done by an independent examiner that |
| 8 | sworn, testified as follows: | 8 | came in to review various allegations concerning |
| 9 | MR. NANCE: Please state your name for | 9 | various transactions, a multitude of transactions, |
| 10 | the record. | 10 | that preceded and led up to the January 15, 2015, |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Timothy Donovan, Executive | 11 | bankruptcy. |
| 12 | Vice President, General Counsel and Chief | 12 | That report was issued some nine months, |
| 13 | Regulatory Compliance Officer for Caesars | 13 | I believe, later and went through the various |
| 14 | Entertainment. | 14 | transactions. And the examiner expressed, you know, |
| 15 | MR. NANCE: Thank you. | 15 | his opinion and his team's opinion on the -- those |
| 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Mr. O'Gara? | 16 | transactions and whether or not those transactions |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. O'GARA: | 17 | could be or were a basis for claims that were filed |
| 18 | Q. And how long have you worked at Caesars, | 18 | against CEC and CAC and others in connection with the |
| 19 | Mr. Donovan? | 19 | transactions leading up to the bankruptcy. |
| 20 | A. A little over eight years. | 20 | Q. Was there also litigation outside the |
| 21 | Q. And you were the General Counsel at the | 21 | bankruptcy against CEC by certain creditors of CEOC |
| 22 | time that Caesars Entertainment Operating Company | 22 | and CEC? |
| 23 | filed its voluntary petition in bankruptcy? | 23 | A. Yes. There were a number of lawsuits |
| 24 | A. Yes, I was. | 24 | filed in the state of New York and also in the state |
| 25 | Q. And how long ago was that? | 25 | of Delaware, again, basically challenging the -- the-- |
|  | 43 |  | 45 |
| 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 | A. We filed in January 15 of 2015. The | 2 | these transactions that led up to the bankruptcy. |
| 3 | voluntary filing in the state of Illinois at the | 3 | Q. And while this was going on, did you |
| 4 | Chicago Bankruptcy Court. | 4 | continue discussions with all of the various classes |
| 5 | Q. And we're here now because, after | 5 | of creditors of CEOC and CEC? |
| 6 | winding its way through these proceedings, there's a | 6 | A. Correct. We did. It was -- they |
| 7 | confirmed plan that's been approved by the creditors, | 7 | were -- I think everybody -- while there were |
| 8 | and CEOC is really for emergence; is that correct? | 8 | discussions going on, I think everybody, both sides |
| 9 | A. Yes. That's correct. The plan was |  | were waiting in earnest to see what the results of the |
| 10 | confirmed almost two years to the day from when we | 10 | examiner's report would be. Varying degrees of -- |
| 11 | filed in 2015. | 11 | obviously the examiner's report was a point of |
| 12 | Q. For the benefit of the Commissioners, | 12 | leverage. And depending on which way the examiner |
| 13 | can you give some description of the bankruptcy | 13 | came down in terms of his analysis of the |
| 14 | process? Was it -- this was not a consensual | 14 | transactions. And so negotiations, while they were |
| 15 | bankruptcy; is that correct? | 15 | going on, were a bit stalled until the examiner's |
| 16 | A. No. It was -- no, it was not. We | 16 | report came out and people would determine who had the |
| 17 | filed, as I mentioned, on January 15th. There was a |  | better line of argument with respect to the pending |
| 18 | involuntary filing that occurred on January 12th in | 18 | litigation. Which, of course, was the leverage on the |
| 19 | the state of Delaware and the bankruptcy court there. | 19 | negotiations. |
| 20 | In fact, one of the first bones of contention, if you | 20 | Q. And, ultimately, with each group of |
| 21 | will, in the bankruptcy proceedings is as -- which |  | creditors, you would work out an agreement that would |
| 22 | court was going to have jurisdiction over the Chapter |  | be memorialized in a restructuring support agreement; |
| 23 | 11 proceedings. That ultimately resulted in the |  | is that correct? |
| 24 | Chicago court being the venue and having the | 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | jurisdiction over the case. And for two years | 25 | Q. And what was the first group of |
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| 46 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 creditors? Do you recall? They entered into the RSA | 2 its three operating units, CERP, CGP, and CEOC? |
| 3 way back when in 2016? | 3 A. They will -- they will once again become |
| 4 A. I think the first group was the -- it | 4 wholly owned subsidiaries of CEC. I refer to it as |
| 5 was either the banks -- I think it was the banks. | 5 putting Humpty Dumpty back together again. |
| 6 Q. That's the correct answer. | 6 Q. So the transactions which led to the |
| 7 A. Thank you. | 7 creation of CAC and the minority interest in CEOC, all |
| 8 (Laughter.) | 8 of those will be as if never happened and will be back |
| 9 Q. And thereafter, you -- you and -- | 9 with -- |
| 10 A. Good coaching. | 10 A. Yes. |
| 11 Q. Yeah. Somebody at Kirkland told me. | 11 Q. -- the wholly -- |
| 12 Thereafter, these negotiations went on | 12 A. The subsidiaries will still exist, but |
| 13 following, and ultimately each of the various | 13 they will be wholly owned by CEC. So CERP, CGP and -- |
| 14 constituencies entered into a restructuring support | 14 and what's the third one? CEOC. |
| 15 agreement which became the basis for the Third Amended | 15 VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: CEOC. |
| 16 and Restated Plan of Reorganization; correct? | 16 Q. CEOC. |
| 17 A. Correct. | 17 A. The most important one. |
| 18 Q. And thereafter, what has happened and | 18 Q. And -- |
| 19 what we're seeking approval today is largely dictated, | 19 CHAIR LEVINSON: I don't feel so bad |
| 20 how Caesars -- CEOC was reorganized by the very | 20 that I don't remember them. |
| 21 language of that plan; is that correct? | 21 (Laughter.) |
| 22 A. That's correct. | 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. |
| 23 Q. There's an MLSA because the plan calls | 23 MR. O'GARA: We have it written down. |
| 24 for a MLSA? | 24 VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: That's why we |
| 25 A. Correct. | 25 have a chart. |
| 47 | 49 |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 Q. There's a REIT because the plan calls | 2 Q. And, Mr. Donovan, in conjunction with |
| 3 for a REIT? | 3 that, will CEC be issuing -- first of all, it had to |
| 4 A. Correct. | 4 amend its Certificate of Incorporation in order for |
| 5 Q. And -- and likewise, each of the steps | 5 this merger to occur to issue more stock; correct? |
| 6 were dictated. | 6 A. Correct. |
| 7 Are there particular conditions -- COC | $7 \quad$ Q. And is that because of the consideration |
| 8 was in bankruptcy and had to reorganize. Was there a | 8 involved? Essentially -- |
| 9 particular condition involving Caesars Entertainment | 9 A. Yes. |
| 10 and Caesars Acquisition that has to occur for that | 10 Q. -- if you have CAC stock -- if you have |
| 11 plan to become effective? | 11 CAC stock, what are you getting? |
| 12 A. Yeah. One of the key components to the | 12 A. You're getting CEC stock. And you're |
| 13 plan of reorganization is the merger of CAC back in | 13 getting always preferable converted notes. |
| 14 CEC , and CEC would be the surviving corporation. | 14 Q. Okay. And -- |
| 15 I've -- so all of the transactions -- most -- CAC was | 15 A. So that's the amendments that are being |
| 16 created as a result of transactions that were the | 16 made to the Certificate of -- well, actually, in |
| 17 subject of, and in many cases some of these lawsuits I | 17 Delaware it's the charter. You know, for CEC to |
| 18 mentioned previously. So in order to do the plan of | 18 increase -- to give the ability to issue the |
| 19 reorganization as called for under the plan, the terms | 19 convertible notes and also to give more shares and |
| 20 of the plan of reorganization, the merger of CAC back | 20 make the transaction available, shares needed to be |
| 21 into and with CEC is a condition precedent to | 21 issued in connection with the merger and the other |
| 22 emerging. | 22 consideration so the issuance of stock in connection |
| 23 Q. And with respect to that merger, when it | 23 with the reorganization. |
| 24 is accomplished, structurally what will be the | 24 Q. And CEC is contributing certain stock |
| 25 relationship between Caesars Entertainment and each of | 25 and money towards the CEOC plan of reorganization; |
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| 50 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 correct? | 2 We received, you know, an IRS letter ruling saying |
| 3 A. Correct. | 3 that will qualify -- a private letter ruling that they |
| 4 Q. And as all that occurs, will that result | 4 will qualify as real estate investment trust. And the |
| 5 in any significant change of ownership of Caesars from | 5 actual properties will be transferred beneath the |
| 6 where it is today with respect to its sponsors or its | 6 holding company to a PROPCO underneath the wholly |
| 7 owners? | 7 owned subsidiary of the publicly traded REIT. |
| 8 A. Yeah. Yeah. Pretty significantly. And | 8 Q. And those transactions appear on Pages |
| 9 I think we have, as depicted probably the best -- | 96,7 , and 8 of these slides, by which we'll distribute |
| 10 excuse me. Probably the best page to go to would be | 10 the property and ultimately consideration to the |
| 11 Page 4 on the -- on the exhibit that we provided. | 11 various creditors as described. |
| 12 So this depicts the CEC/CAC merger and | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 just -- well, the share ownerships aren't on there. | 13 Q. Now, is there a facility, Mr. Donovan, |
| 14 I'll provide them. What will happen as a result of | 14 by which certain of the securities that are being |
| 15 that merger, approximately 22 percent will be | 15 handed to certain creditors, including CEC stock, will |
| 16 reflected as the TPG, Apollo, and their coinvests in | 16 not remain outstanding? Is there a buyback provision |
| 17 total. Their interest in the post-merger CEC. So a | 17 or a mandatory buyback with respect to certain CEC |
| 18 substantial dilution. Apollo and TPG and the | 18 stock? |
| 19 co-invests actually surrender as part of this plan of | 19 A. There is a buyback. Yes. |
| 20 reorganization, their existing shares in CEC. And | 20 Q. And how much of the CEC stock which is |
| 21 that 22 percent really represents the stock they're | 21 being distributed will be purchased pursuant to the |
| 22 getting as a result of the their holdings in CAC and | 22 buyback? Do you know? |
| 23 as a result of the merger of CAC into CEC. We -- | 23 A. I used to recall that number. |
| 24 CEC's legacy stockholders, employees, and a couple of | 24 Q. Would a billion dollars or -- |
| 25 the significant holders currently will at the end of | 25 A. A billion dollars. That sounds right to |
| 51 | 53 |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 the day, have approximately eight percent of CEC. | 2 me. |
| 3 CAC stockholders other than TPG and | 3 (Laughter.) |
| 4 Apollo will have between 12 to 13 percent of the | 4 Q. Now, when the separation has been |
| 5 interests in CEC. And then ten percent will go to the | 5 completed, what we will have is, as you've described, |
| 6 first lien bondholders. And approximately 38 percent | 6 the three operating units of Caesars, two of which own |
| 7 to the second lien bondholders. | 7 their own real estate in Atlantic City in straight |
| 8 Q. And, Mr. Donovan, in addition to that as | 8 lines. And then CEOC, which owns all the operating |
| 9 part of the plan? | 9 companies a hundred percent, and it will have its real |
| 10 A. I didn't have a Governor Perry moment on | 10 estate owned by the REIT. Is that as indicated on |
| 11 those -- those percentages. | 11 Chart 10 over here? |
| 12 (Laughter.) | 12 A. (Reviewing.) Yes. |
| 13 Q. Will CEOC cause itself to divest itself | 13 Q. And then with respect to the properties |
| 14 of certain assets in conjunction with the | 14 and how they are organized under the REIT, that's on |
| 15 implementation of the plan? | 15 Chart 11; correct? |
| 16 A. Well, a key component of the plan of | 16 A. Correct. |
| 17 reorganization, and indeed one that creates more value | 17 Q. Now, will there be any change to the |
| 18 and therefore gave more currency in order to receive | 18 governance of how Caesars Entertainment Corporation as |
| 19 agreement to the plan and receive confirmation of the | 19 a result of the merger and the plan? |
| 20 plan, was transferring the real estate assets, so the | 20 A. Yes. CEC's board will be reconstituted |
| 21 brick and mortar, the dirt, in approximately 25 | 21 effective on the date of emergence. The existing |
| 22 existing properties owned by the debtor in CEOC. And | 22 board with the exception of two of its existing board |
| 23 so those will be transferred to a new company that | 23 members -- three of its existing board members -- will |
| 24 will be -- well, the parent holding company will be -- | 24 resign, and the new board will consist of 11 board |
| 25 is named VICI. It is a real estate investment trust. | 25 members. One would be the CEO, Mark Frissora. Then |
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| 54 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 there will be eight independent -- and that's | 2 this Commission has already approved the lease. This |
| 3 independence as defined under the plan of | 3 Commission has already determined the licensure status |
| 4 reorganization -- appointed by various of the | 4 of the REIT. So there's no misunderstanding, does the |
| 5 combination of the creditors and also by CAC special | 5 REIT have any control over the operations of Caesars |
| 6 committee and CEC special committee. Some of those | 6 Entertainment Operating Company or Caesars? |
| 7 won't be independent. And there will be a total of | 7 A. No. You know, real estate investment |
| 8 11. And all of those at this juncture have been | 8 trusts -- in order to qualify as a real estate |
| 9 appointed and named and, you know, the board is | 9 investment trust -- has to be basically a passive |
| 10 starting to -- the new board, prospective board, is | 10 investor and is not to have, you know, operations in |
| 11 starting to come together and be formulated and ready | 11 and of itself. There is some room for real estate |
| 12 to go upon emergence. | 12 investment trusts to have a portion of their income |
| 13 Q. Now, we have proceedings. We had ten of | 13 come from operations. They are utilizing that to |
| 14 these people who have applied in New Jersey. There's | 14 operate certain of the golf courses that go into the |
| 15 an 11th person who was -- | 15 REIT. But with respect to the -- all the gaming |
| 16 A. Just one name. | 16 properties, there's all operations are within the |
| 17 Q. One small group of creditors, who is it | 17 confines of the jurisdiction of OPCO. |
| 18 correct to say did not immediately name a director; is | 18 Q. And just so -- in order to spin off the |
| 19 that correct? | 19 real estate into the REIT, even though REITs can't be |
| 20 A. That's correct. The banks and the | 20 active -- engage in active business, they had to have |
| 21 subsidiary guaranteed note holders together had | 21 an operating business or the spinoff wouldn't have |
| 22 appointment right with respect to one of the 11 board | 22 worked; right? |
| 23 members. That gentleman was just named earlier -- I | 23 A. Correct. |
| 24 guess it was last week. So we will be -- we've | 24 Q. So you have to have one, but then you |
| 25 already started at least internally the process of | 25 can't have any others. |
| 55 | 57 |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 getting him -- applications ready, et cetera, for that | 2 A. Correct. |
| 3 individual. But, yes. He was not named until just | 3 Q. This is the tax code. |
| 4 very recently. Last week. | 4 A. Yeah. They put those assets into what's |
| $5 \quad$ Q. And he'll be the 11th person, so -- | 5 called a taxable REIT subsidiary. |
| 6 A. He'll be the 11th. | 6 Q. And why were they important? Is that |
| $7 \quad$ Q. We won't have any ties; right? | 7 what assured the tax-free nature of the spinoff? |
| 8 A. That's right. I should also -- | 8 A. Correct. |
| 9 Q. Was there a procedure if he wasn't | 9 Q. What would be -- the CEOC presently has |
| 10 named, Mr. Donovan? | 10 a board and functions. Will CEOC's governance change |
| 11 A. There was a procedure. | 11 now? |
| 12 Q. Were you looking forward to describing | 12 A. Yes, it will. CEOC will have a new |
| 13 that procedure? | 13 board that will consist of Mark Frissora, CEC's |
| 14 A. I was not looking forward. Being a -- | 14 existing CEO, and Eric Hession, CEC's CFO. And then |
| 15 being a corporate lawyer and not a bankruptcy lawyer, | 15 an independent board member who has not been selected |
| 16 I was struggling with how a corporation lawyer would | 16 yet. We've narrowed it down hopefully. And the |
| 17 describe the bankruptcy lawyer's process for handling | 17 individuals we've narrowed it down to are already |
| 18 that. But they had a process in place that an | 18 qualified. And because they were, you know, qualified |
| 19 interim -- an existing board member of CEC would stay | 19 in connection with CEOC's operations, you know, a |
| 20 on an interim basis until the last individual was | 20 couple years ago. But we have not named that |
| 21 named. | 21 individual yet. |
| 22 Q. But we've avoided all that. | 22 Q. And -- |
| 23 A. Praise the Lord. | 23 A. And there will be a board observer. |
| 24 (Laughter.) | 24 Q . And that board observer is someone from |
| 25 Q. Now, with respect to the REIT structure, | 25 the REIT who just comes, watches what goes on. |
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| 62 | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 Q. Okay. But I think as you've said, it's | 2 getting you know, a hundred cents on the dollar, the |
| 3 fair to say that Caesars was not able to pay its | 3 lower prioritized debt was not. So there was -- there |
| 4 annual debt service obligations with its earning. It | 4 was not only negotiations going on between ourselves. |
| 5 was projected initially that it was going to be able | 5 When I say "ourselves," it was really CEOC and |
| 6 to. The recession hit. They go down, and then -- | 6 primarily as a debtor of CEC, but there were |
| 7 A. Yes. | 7 negotiations ongoing between the various traunches of |
| 8 Q. -- bankruptcy occurred essentially | 8 debt given their prioritization relative to each |
| 9 because they couldn't make that. | 9 other. |
| 10 A. Yes. And during that period, the -- for | 10 Q. Thank you. |
| 11 folks, you know, that follow the markets, during | 11 And you mentioned the existence of |
| 12 that -- we were the last -- that transaction was one | 12 litigation in New York and Delaware. Do you remember |
| 13 of the last transactions to get done in that bond | 13 the two main issues that were involved in those cases? |
| 14 market and because of the Recession. So the issue | 14 A. Yes. In Delaware -- well, primarily -- |
| 15 started right off the bat which led to, of course, I | 15 Q. Uh-hum. |
| 16 think something like 52 different refinancings to try | 16 A. -- in Delaware, one of the -- the main |
| 17 to get more time, thinking that ultimately, you know, | 17 issue was over whether or not there were breaches of |
| 18 the economy would come back, operations would come | 18 fiduciary -- for fraudulent conveyance. Fraudulent |
| 19 back, and we'd be able to work ourselves out of the | 19 conveyance in the sense of hinder or delay. And with |
| 20 problem. Ironically, you know, the first year after | 20 respect to the transfers of assets to CAC and the |
| 21 we filed bankruptcy, things did come back. And | 21 transactions leading up to the bankruptcy. |
| 22 operationally we've been performing very, very well | 22 And the primary case in New York related |
| 23 since the filing of the bankruptcy in 2015. | 23 to something called the Trust Indenture Act which has |
| 24 Q. So you mention these financial | 24 been around since the Depression and not often used. |
| 25 transactions. And I guess you did all those financial | 25 But whether the transactions represented an |
| 63 | 65 |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 transactions, but did CEC's consolidated interest | 2 out-of-court restructuring that violated the Trust |
| 3 expense still stay well in excess of its earnings? | 3 Indenture Act. We were -- that case was filed based |
| 4 A. Yes. | 4 on a case that had been previously decided in the |
| 5 Q. Okay. | 5 Southern District of New York, District Court, a case |
| 6 A. Yes. | 6 called Marblegate, which then, of course, went on |
| 7 Q. And I think you mentioned that you, CEC | 7 appeal. A similar case to Marblegate was filed |
| 8 and the debtors, tried to work out a consensual | 8 against us. And the -- the proceedings were stayed at |
| 9 bankruptcy plan by negotiating with creditors -- | 9 various points. So we never -- there were motions |
| 10 A. Yes. | 10 practice going on. But ultimately, you know, on the |
| 11 Q. -- prior to the filing the bankruptcy? | 11 date of confirmation, as I recall, on the morning of |
| 12 A. We did. | 12 the date of confirmation, the Marblegate decision on |
| 13 Q. Do you know why those efforts were | 13 appeal was ruled in a way that would have been |
| 14 unsuccessful? | 14 favorable to our position on the -- on the question of |
| 15 A. It was -- well, it was -- I'm not -- I'm | 15 the Trust Indenture Act. |
| 16 not a bankruptcy lawyer, but I think I'm safe in | 16 And that was so important from just a |
| 17 saying that this was probably one of the more complex | 17 legal substantive standpoint. Because if -- if -- if |
| 18 bankruptcies in US history. And so a lot of different | 18 the Marblegate case had stayed pursuant to the |
| 19 parties with a lot of different competing interests. | 19 decision rendered in the Southern District of New |
| 20 There were people that held debt that also, I think, | 20 York, that would have ultimately meant that CEC was |
| 21 you know, in some cases held equity. And so there | 21 indeed, you know, a guarantor or was going to be -- |
| 22 were -- and the creditors weren't all on the same | 22 have to make good on its guarantied for all of \$18 |
| 23 page, given their relative stacking in the priority. | 23 billion in debt. The way that the Marblegate case, |
| 24 So while some creditors, you know, first liens and the | 24 which ultimately decided, which again, was not our |
| 25 banks, were pretty much, you know, guarantied of | 25 case but again was similar in nature, you know, was a |
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| 70 |  | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 under Delaware law -- majority of the stockholders -- | 2 | are -- we'll be able to comply with. |
| 3 is guaranteed, you know, approval by virtue of the | 3 | Q. Okay. Thank you. That was my final |
| 4 fact that the people that hold over a majority of | 4 | question. |
| 5 stock have signed voting agreements previously so | 5 | A. Okay. |
| 6 Apollo, TPG, and the coinvest funds to vote in favor | 6 | Q. Thank you very much. |
| 7 of the merger. So it's a fait accompli at this point. | 7 | A. Thank you. |
| 8 And it was that, having that stockholder approval, | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Mr. O'Gara? Anything? |
| 9 frankly, it was a condition precedent to getting on | 9 | MR. O'GARA: One thing. |
| 10 the agenda to have approval of the transaction by the | 10 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. O'GARA: |
| 11 State of Nevada. | 11 | Q. Just so they don't think we were, like, |
| 12 Q. So far we've only been talking about | 12 | entirely missed anything. The right of first offer |
| 13 Bally's and Caesars in New Jersey. But with respect | 13 | has it in, like, four pages about the fact we have to |
| 14 to Harrah's, the real estate assets are not going to | 14 | get regulatory approvals before anybody can exercise |
| 15 be sold to the REIT yet. | 15 | it; right? |
| 16 A. Correct. | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 Q. And there's something out there, a call | 17 | Q. We didn't miss it; right? |
| 18 right agreement? | 18 | A. Nope. |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 | Q. Okay. |
| 20 Q. And can you explain how that would work | 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 21 with respect to Harrah's Atlantic City? | 21 | Commissioners, any questions for the |
| 22 A. Well, there is a call right on the part | 22 | witness? |
| 23 of the -- the REIT to call three properties. | 23 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'm good. Thank |
| 24 Particularly, you know, the one interest here is the | 24 | you. |
| 25 Harrah's property here in Atlantic City. | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: So I just want to make |
| 71 |  | 73 |
| 1 TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 Q. Uh-hum. | 2 | sure I heard this correctly. Any litigation |
| 3 A. There -- I think, in all likelihood, | 3 | pertaining to this bankruptcy has been |
| 4 that call right will be exercised, but if it is, it | 4 | resolved? |
| 5 will be subject to coming back and getting approval | 5 | THE WITNESS: It's all subject to a |
| 6 from that transfer from the regulatory body. | 6 | release that will go into effect upon |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And do you know how long the REIT has to | 7 | emergence. |
| 8 purchase Harrah's under that agreement? | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: So once the |
| 9 A. I believe it's five years. Yeah. Thank | 9 | emergence -- we don't believe -- you don't |
| 10 you. | 10 | believe there's anything of issue. |
| 11 Q. And you mentioned that we had proposed | 11 | THE WITNESS: No. No. |
| 12 some conditions. And one of those conditions requires | 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Okay. In terms of -- |
| 13 Harrah's Atlantic City and its holding intermediary | 13 | THE WITNESS: And pending that -- sorry. |
| 14 companies to obtain prior New Jersey approval to sell | 14 | It's all been stayed. |
| 15 or in any way transfer or dispose any of its real | 15 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Understood. |
| 16 estate assets to VICI REIT. I think you have already | 16 | The distribution of capital expenditures |
| 17 indicated that you are willing to comply with that | 17 | among the properties. How much does VICI have |
| 18 condition. | 18 | over the actual operators themselves on what |
| 19 A. Absolutely. | 19 | they can do capitol-wise into the properties? |
| 20 Q. Is that right? | 20 | And our concern here is the Atlantic City |
| 21 A. Yeah. | 21 | properties. |
| 22 Q. And have you seen the other -- are you | 22 | THE WITNESS: There are minimum capital |
| 23 aware of the other conditions that the Division | 23 | requirements. So in that respect, it's a good |
| 24 recommended in its report? | 24 | thing, you know, for all of the properties that |
| 25 A. I have. Yes. And I think all of them | 25 | go into the REIT. Because before we didn't |
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|  | 74 |  | 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 | have a minimum, you know, requirement from | 2 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Right. |
| 3 | anybody in terms of the capital expenditures. | 3 | MR. O'GARA: There's -- the REIT does |
| 4 | But I think Eric Hession, who is going to come | 4 | not pay the -- you know, the expenses. The |
| 5 | up -- yeah. He's going to speak to a lot of | 5 | triple net lease means it's like you own it, |
| 6 | those questions, types of questions. | 6 | but you're paying us because you don't own it. |
| 7 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 7 | You take care of the taxes and the insurance. |
| 8 | Sharon? | 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Uh-hum. |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Most of mine | 9 | MR. O'GARA: And all that kind of thing. |
| 10 | would like to, you know, the amount of money | 10 | So that's theirs. So they would expenses of |
| 11 | that's devoted to both the capital expenditures | 11 | the CEOC and of the licensees. But as to the |
| 12 | but also the management of the two properties. | 12 | actual -- you know, having a nonCPLV Manager, |
| 13 | So if that's Eric's line -- | 13 | that's a zero cost item because it doesn't have |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. | 14 | any fee. It doesn't get paid anything for |
| 15 | MR. O'GARA: I just -- there's -- so you | 15 | doing it. |
| 16 | understand, the management fee involved -- | 16 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. |
| 17 | there's no management fee involved. | 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any further questions |
| 18 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Say that -- I didn't | 18 | for the first witness? |
| 19 | hear what you said. What did you say? | 19 | (No response.) |
| 20 | MR. O'GARA: This Management Agreement | 20 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Mr. Donovan? |
| 21 | with nonCPLV Manager? | 21 | Hearing none, you're excused. Thank |
| 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Right. | 22 | you. |
| 23 | MR. O'GARA: Zero. It has two | 23 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. And I'd like |
| 24 | employees. And I think CEC is covering their | 24 | to thank -- you know, it's good to be here. |
| 25 | salary. So there's not going to be -- I mean, | 25 | I'm glad to be here. And, hopefully, this is |
|  | 75 |  | 77 |
| 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN | 1 | TIMOTHY DONOVAN |
| 2 | as to Cap Ex and how it works in budget. But | 2 | resolved in a good resolve. But I wanted to |
| 3 | as the Management Agreement itself, unlike a | 3 | thank, you know, the staff and for their hard |
| 4 | lot of other management agreements where you | 4 | work. It's really been -- been very supportive |
| 5 | have four percent of that or three percent, | 5 | and they've been very cooperative and been very |
| 6 | there's no fee. None. It's identical to CERP | 6 | professional, so it's a lot of work. |
| 7 | Manager which is at Harrah's, which charges no | 7 | Thank you. |
| 8 | fee. And CES, which provides the services, | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 9 | does it at cost. It's not -- | 9 | Mr. O'Gara, would you like to make any |
| 10 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. But there | 10 | statements before you call the first witness -- |
| 11 | is a cost at cost. So I mean, in the report it | 11 | or the second witness? |
| 12 | says that the successor licensees will not own | 12 | MR. O'GARA: No. Just it's Eric |
| 13 | any real estate or own any mortgage debt. | 13 | Hession, who is the CFO and, hopefully, he'll |
| 14 | MR. O'GARA: Correct. | 14 | address -- we tried to do with Mr. Donovan, who |
| 15 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: And yet there | 15 | is a lawyer like I am, not throw into Eric's |
| 16 | are amounts assigned to both Caesars and | 16 | territory which gets into those wonderful |
| 17 | Bally's. And then one of my questions is, | 17 | concepts like debts service, allocation, and |
| 18 | how -- who will take the real estate taxes? Or | 18 | all that. And so Eric will address all that. |
| 19 | are they embedded in that amount? What about | 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Okay. |
| 20 | the utilities? What about the -- | 20 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I appreciate |
| 21 | MR. O'GARA: Commissioner, when we | 21 | that. |
| 22 | address the lease, it's triple net. Meaning | 22 | MR. O'GARA: He was part of these |
| 23 | that OPCO is responsible for the taxes and | 23 | negotiations clearly, and he's the person who |
| 24 | everything. So the real estate taxes are an | 24 | will execute it going forward. But what I |
| 25 | obligation of the operating companies. | 25 | wanted to make clear is that when you look at |
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|  | 78 |  | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ERIC HESSION | 1 | ERIC HESSION |
| 2 | these costs, and it's sometimes confusing that | 2 | A. Yeah. It was a very, you know, busy |
| 3 | we did it in parts, that the lease has triple | 3 | time in terms of finance areas. Tim mentioned a lot |
| 4 | net. So we have all the taxes and everything. | 4 | of the data and a lot of tax information that had to |
| 5 | But when you talk about a manager getting a | 5 | be provided so that the negotiations could continue |
| 6 | license, most often you see it's somebody who | 6 | were provided by the accounting department, by the |
| 7 | managing it because they get a percentage of | 7 | financial planning department, and by our tax |
| 8 | what they're doing. | 8 | organization. In terms of the date-to-day |
| 9 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I understand. | 9 | negotiations with the various creditor groups, we were |
| 10 | MR. O'GARA: This is something that was | 10 | not directly involved in that. That was handled |
| 11 | dictated by an MLSA. You can tell by it's | 11 | through the owners of the company as well as the |
| 12 | massive staff of two. It should be fairly | 12 | lawyers and the various financial advisors that they |
| 13 | efficient. And so it will have no -- and CES, | 13 | had hired. |
| 14 | as you know from its qualification, is just a | 14 | Q. But the numbers that were involved |
| 15 | pass-through entity. It charges what it | 15 | ultimately were ones that you were involved in |
| 16 | spends. | 16 | providing on behalf of Caesars, and as to evaluating |
| 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Understood. | 17 | various scenarios, you evaluated as to -- on behalf of |
| 18 | MR. O'GARA: Okay? | 18 | Caesars as to their debt; correct? |
| 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Would you like call | 19 | A. Yeah. Absolutely. And we provided |
| 20 | your second witness? | 20 | those on behalf of all the negotiations so we provided |
| 21 | MR. O'GARA: Sure. Eric. | 21 | consistent data, consistent information for all the |
| 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Mr. Hession, please be | 22 | various sources so they would be able to decide the |
| 23 | sworn in by Daryl once you get up there. | 23 | various valuations and get comfortable with how the |
| 24 | ERIC H E S S I O N , having been first duly sworn, |  | negotiations persisted. |
| 25 | testified as follows: | 25 | Q. Is it fair to say you're familiar with |
|  | 79 |  | 81 |
| 1 | ERIC HESSION | 1 | ERIC HESSION |
| 2 | MR. NANCE: Please state your name for | 2 | the Third Amended Plan of Reorganization? |
| 3 | the record. | 3 | A. Yes. Very. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: It's Eric Hession, | 4 | Q. And also the financial terms of the |
| 5 | H-e-s-s-i-o-n. | 5 | Merger Agreement? |
| 6 | MR. NANCE: Thank you. | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. O'GARA: | 7 | Q. What's the principal effect -- and I |
| 8 | Q. Eric, are you the Chief Financial | 8 | forgot to ask Mr. Donovan. But assuming all this is |
| 9 | Officer of Caesars Entertainment? | 9 | approved, when is it anticipated this is actually |
| 10 | A. I am. | 10 | going to occur, that this is going to become |
| 11 | Q. And how long have you held that | 11 | effective? That this plan will actually take effect. |
| 12 | position? | 12 | A. We -- at this point, based on the |
| 13 | A. I've held that position for a little | 13 | variety of approvals that are needed, and as Mr. |
| 14 | over two and-a-half years. | 14 | Donovan referenced earlier, the consummation of the |
| 15 | Q. And prior to that, were you a financial | 15 | merger, we would anticipate this happening at the end |
| 16 | officer of Caesars? | 16 | of September, possibly in the first few days of |
| 17 | A. Yes. I've been with the company almost | 17 | October, depending on the scheduling from an |
| 18 | 15 years total in a variety of roles, six or seven | 18 | accounting perspective. But that's the best estimate |
| 19 | years in operations, doing a variety of functions of | 19 | that we have at this point. |
| 20 | property level and a regional level. And then the | 20 | Q. So it would be the end of the third |
| 21 | behalf of my career with the company has been at the | 21 | quarter. |
| 22 | corporate level in finance and various functions. | 22 | A. The end of the third quarter. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. Were you actively engaged in the various | 23 | Q. What will be the principal effect? And |
| 24 | negotiations and the experience that CEC and CEOC went | 24 | I'm going to ask you on the Caesars Enterprise. And |
| 25 | through during the Chapter 11 reorganization? | 25 | when I say "Enterprise, ${ }^{\text {I I mean Caesars Entertainment, }}$ |
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|  | 84 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ERIC HESSION <br> all of its subsidiaries and affiliates, the entire entity. What will be the principal financial effect on this company on consummation of these transactions, the merger, and the effectiveness of the bankruptcy? <br> A. Yeah. So the principal effect is that it will be a dramatic change in just the overall capital structure of the company. As Mr. Donovan commented in response to some of the questions about the leverage buyout that occurred in 2008, almost immediately from that point on our company was stressed with one of three major items. One, cash. Making sure that we had enough cash. Two, leverage. Making sure that we had sufficient -- that we kept our leverage down. And then three, duration. Meaning the debt, we wanted to make sure it was pushed out so we had enough time to react. And so for a period of about seven years, that was a constant overhang on the company. Particularly from the cash standpoint, having negative cash flows required additional debt raises, assets sales, and a variety of other mechanisms to enable us to -- as we waited for the eventual recovery in the industry. <br> Coming out of this restructuring, our capital structure leverage will be reduced | ERIC HESSION <br> of the deck or Power Point, whatever terms you wish to refer to. There's a chart called "Old Versus New Debt Structure," and it tries to summarize certain things. And in it it has elements of the debt in the various units of Caesars and also annual cash interest expense and lease payments. Taking the Caesars consolidated, the entire enterprise of Caesars, prior to this -- the effective date of this restructuring, at the high point, what was the amount of long-term debt? <br> A. Yeah. It was approximately $\$ 25$ billion. <br> That was both at the time of the leverage buyout and then also right before the ultimate filing of bankruptcy. The $\$ 25$ billion was a consistent number. It did vary throughout that period, but both at the time of the leverage buyout and right before the bankruptcy, it was around $\$ 25$ billion. <br> Q. When you say "vary," it didn't vary down to, like, nothing? <br> A. No. It might have varied down to 22 . <br> Q. And upon emergence, what will be the long-term debt obligations of the enterprise? <br> A. It will be just under $\$ 10$ billion. So they'll be a reduction of around $\$ 15$ billion of true debt. The one thing I would call out is that, as Mr. |
| ERIC HESSION <br> dramatically. It will be at 6 times or 5.3 times, depending on how you count the convertible note, at the parent, which is right in line if not on the lower end of our industry peers. From a cash flow perspective, we'll be generating a significant amount of cash flow each year that can then be used for redeployment back in the businesses or other business opportunities that we haven't been able to pursue for a number of years. And then from a cash balance perspective, we'll also merge with a sizable amount of cash on the balance sheet. So immediately coming out of the restructuring, we're not in a position were we're going to be lacking cash. <br> So overall, we'll have gone from a company that for a period of, I would say six or seven years, was really scrambling to ensure that we didn't have any of those three things trip us up to a company that's in a much more stable position with a very manageable capital structure that's also able to withstand downturns and hiccups in the economy, unlike the capital structure that was put in place at the time of the LVO, which we ultimately knew was too highly levered. <br> Q. I will invite your attention to Page 3 | ERIC HESSION <br> Donovan walked through, there is the lease payment to the REIT. An industry standard way of valuing that because technically it's not debt but an obligation that the company would have. It's used to about an eight times multiple. So that would take our debt reduction to around $\$ 10$ billion if you tried to put everything on an apples-to-apples basis. <br> Q. So you will, for certain accounting purposes, although it's rent and it's a lease expense, you'll show on your balance sheet this amount as long-term debt? <br> A. It will be shown on the balance sheet as a long-term liability. <br> Q. Liability but not debt. <br> A. It will likely not be categorized as <br> debt. However, for the purposes of financial analysis, and when you're thinking about the strength and ultimate leverage in the company, I think it's appropriate to consider it as some sort of liability that you'd then add to that debt. <br> Q. This is -- this is -- so perhaps in a framework for everybody -- this is what -- this accounting treatment is what resulted in Penn National restating their financial statements two years after |
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| 90 | 92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ERIC HESSION | 1 ERIC HESSION |
| 2 centralized services companies, such as paying capital | 2 that you don't think would work under cap, anyway; |
| 3 at a centralized level as well as making certain other | 3 right? |
| 4 procurement disbursements. | 4 A. No. Not to get too technical, but |
| 5 Q. Does the lease obligate any individual | 5 because we are ultimate -- "we" being the OPCO, -- is |
| 6 property to a specific amount of the lease? | 6 effectively leasing the assets for a period of time |
| 7 A. No. In fact, no single property, other | 7 that is essentially their effective useful life, this |
| 8 than Caesars Palace because they have their own | 8 is what's going to be treated as what's called the |
| 9 special situation, is obligated to any specific | 9 failed sale approach. And the way a failed sale |
| 10 amount. It's an amount of total lease that the CEOC | 10 approach works from an accounting treatment is that |
| 11 entity is obligated to pay. But each property is not | 11 the assets are held on both sets of books, so they |
| 12 obligated in any amount to make any payments. | 12 held on the OPCO and on the PROPCO books from a gap |
| 13 Q. Are you allocating any portion of this | 13 perspective. The value of those assets is determined |
| 14 lease to any of these properties in Atlantic City? | 14 based on the highest and best use, which is actually |
| 15 A. No. They'll be -- there will be some | 15 the PROPCO side. And, therefore, the PROPCO values |
| 16 accounting treatment where assets will be pushed down | 16 them. We then reflect them on our books, and we book |
| 17 and liabilities will be pushed down. But from a cash | 17 a liability equal to that which is then amortized over |
| 18 flow perspective, as I mentioned, no particular | 18 the period of the loan. |
| 19 property is obligated to make any specific payments. | 19 Short way of, you know, skipping to the |
| 20 It's the entity as a whole that is obligated to make | 20 conclusion is that that is a different treatment than |
| 21 those payments on behalf of all the property. | 21 the effective cash treatment, which is really what we |
| 22 Q. And if you were to allocate it, you | 22 manage to from a returns perspective, from a balance |
| 23 understand that this Commission and this Division | 23 sheet perspective, and how we think about the |
| 24 would like to know and see that allocation? | 24 performance of the business. It's really a very |
| 25 A. Yeah. Absolutely. | 25 unusual gap accounting treatment that, because of the |
| 91 | 93 |
| 1 ERIC HESSION | 1 ERIC HESSION |
| 2 Q. Now, you have -- there is a document | 2 way that the restructuring is working, we end up in |
| 3 which the world has seen which apparently in Illinois | 3 this situation. |
| 4 they asked about, an allocation for you to do it, and | 4 Q. No one seeks to go there, you're telling |
| 5 you showed them how you would allocate it, if you | $5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 6 would. How did you do that, Eric? | 6 A. No. And, in fact, it's -- we've have a |
| 7 A. Well, so we took the combined EBITDA of | 7 hard time coming up with precedent for it. The reason |
| 8 all of the properties and divided it by each | 8 is that this is a -- as Tim mentioned earlier, you |
| 9 properties respective EBITDA so we basically allocated | 9 have a merger and then the merger is effectively |
| 10 it by EBITDA. That's not -- we don't believe -- we're | 10 buying the OPCO by making the contributions that he |
| 11 still going through the accounting treatment. We | 11 referenced earlier. Therefore, you have a purchase. |
| 12 don't believe that that's how ultimately gap is going | 12 And you also have a purchase at the same exact time as |
| 13 to allocate it, because it's just purely a reflection | 13 you're forming a REIT. And, therefore, you have to |
| 14 of the EBITDA. It was an approximate split, and it | 14 use purchase price methodology as opposed to the |
| 15 was -- but ultimately again, like I said, if a single | 15 traditional REIT methodology because the purchase is |
| 16 property, for example, didn't have any cash because | 16 occurring at exactly the same time. And that creates |
| 17 they either deployed all of their funds back into | 17 a different type of accounting treatment. So it's |
| 18 capital or they had a poor earnings year, that | 18 extremely rare. In fact, and I'm not sure if the |
| 19 property would not continue to be obligated to make | 19 accountants had seen another instance where you would |
| 20 those payments. It would just have to come from | 20 form a REIT and do a purchase of the OPCO in exactly |
| 21 another source. | 21 the same time. Typically, they are spin REITs which |
| 22 Q. So that is not an allocation, and that | 22 you saw with both Penn and Pinnacle. |
| 23 not been implemented or done; right? | 23 Q. Now, you've seen the report from the |
| 24 A. No. No. | 24 Division of Gaming Enforcement, and you know that on |
| 25 Q. And even if you were to have to do this, | 25 Pages 48 through 55, for anyone who missed it, it |
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| 2 discussions capital expenditures in Atlantic City. | 2 related. So it would be boilers, chillers, lift |
| 3 Number one, Eric, there was a chart in | 3 trucks, those types of things are -- because we don't |
| 4 the Division's report which indicated the cap ex | 4 know what's going to break at the beginning of the |
| 5 levels in Atlantic City over the last several years, | 5 year, we provide a fund of money that we estimate for |
| 6 and do you believe those are generally accurate as | 6 the entire company. And as the properties need that |
| 7 reflected there? | 7 capital, they then deploy it on a weekly basis. |
| 8 A. Yes. | 8 That tends to run for the properties at |
| 9 Q. Now, were there some additional ones | 9 Caesars, it's slightly over a million dollars a year. |
| 10 which you could point out that not that they are | 10 And then at Bally's, it's -- in 2016 it was |
| 11 particularly material, but and why they were not | 11 a-million-seven, but it's generally also about a |
| 12 captured by the Division? That were -- | 12 million dollars. So those numbers aren't included in |
| 13 A. Yeah. Oh, for the projections and -- | 13 that \$5 million fund, either. |
| 14 Q. From the going backward and forward. | 14 Q. But looking backwards, it's fair to say |
| 15 A. Yeah. Sure. | 15 that the company's capital expenditures for CEOC was |
| 16 Q. Yeah. | 16 somewhat constrained by this whole bankruptcy process? |
| 17 A. So as I -- there are a couple of items. | 17 A. Yeah. They were. They were. I would |
| 18 As I mentioned, we have a centralized function that | 18 say even more constrained prebankruptcy because we had |
| 19 maintains a lot of the services that are provided to | 19 significant liquidity challenges. And then even |
| 20 all of the casinos. So if you were a stand-alone | 20 during bankruptcy, we had negotiated effectively with |
| 21 casino operator, you'd be required, for example -- and | 21 the credits for a maximum of amount of capital that we |
| 22 I'll just use this because I'm very familiar with | 22 could expend. That amount for the entire CEOC entity |
| 23 it -- to have a general ledger. And that general | 23 was \$225 million. We're currently spending to that |
| 24 ledger, if you were a stand-alone property, would be a | 24 limit right now. One of the discussions that we've |
| 25 capital item you have to maintain on your books or | 25 been having internally is when we come out of |
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| 2 replace as you move forward. | 2 bankruptcy is, as I mentioned at the end of the third |
| 3 In our case, we're putting in a | 3 quarter, we would like to increase that amount for the |
| 4 centralized cloud-based general ledger. And that | 4 last three months of the year and go beyond the 225. |
| 5 capital is reflected as our corporate entity, CES. | 5 But right now we're limited by that pre-agreed cap. |
| 6 And so our properties are not burdened by that | 6 Q. And that cap would -- the creditors have |
| 7 capital. However, they'll benefit from the deployment | 7 an interest having a cap on it because they want to |
| 8 of that capital. The amount of capital that we spend | 8 have capital available for them for the reorganization |
| 9 at the centralized level ranges. It's been as high as | 9 to get it as yield; right? |
| 10 a hundred million dollars. Most recently, it's been | 10 A. That's why it was originally put in |
| 11 more in the 40 to $\$ 60$ million range. And that's kind | 11 place. Now that we have the restructuring support |
| 12 of how we're projecting going forward. If you were to | 12 agreements, we're all in alignment, but it's one of |
| 13 allocate that proportionately to the Atlantic City | 13 those things that if we wanted to go past that amount, |
| 14 properties, you'd get another, say, \$5 million a year | 14 we'd have to go back to the courts. |
| 15 roughly for the CEC and Bally's combined. In | 15 Q. So assuming that we were to get out on |
| 16 addition, the items that weren't included in the \$5 | 16 October the 1st, that's a stakeholder. What's the |
| 17 million estimate going -- | 17 process by which the decisions are made for capital |
| 18 Q. The $\$ 5$ million that appears in certain | 18 expenditures for, you know, 2018 and 2019? How does |
| 19 budgets? | 19 that work now in the new company? All right? |
| 20 A. Yeah. | 20 A. Yeah. It's a great question. So what |
| 21 Q. What is that? Is that a placeholder? | 21 we'll do as a management team is put together the |
| 22 A. Yes. It's absolutely a placeholder. | 22 capital plan for the entire enterprise. We review the |
| 23 And it excludes the CES capital. And it also excludes | 23 projects that we've identified from a corporate |
| 24 what we generally call a small item capital. So | 24 perspective, but we also go out to every property and |
| 25 anything -- anything under \$250,000 that's maintenance | 25 ask their management teams and their general managers |
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| ERIC HESSION <br> to submit projects that they think are in a variety of categories. For example, maintenance is obviously a big category. Those we review for the critical nature of the maintenance and from a guest satisfaction, employee satisfaction perspective, and safety, of course. And then we look at growth projects and say, you know, what are projects that we can invest in at the variety of properties that we have that will then grow our business and expand one of those categories? We take those all together, look at the impact on a variety of different things, and then ultimately prioritize across all the different properties. <br> One of the benefits that we're going to have going forward, as I mentioned, we'll have additional liquidity and a better capital structure and less leverage. But how to translate into decision making is with respect to the hurdle rate. And the hurdle rate is a -- basically a percentage. It's a return that we calculate that's required for us to get on an investment, a capital dollar so that we can generate a return that's higher than our cost of that dollar. <br> And our hurdle rate has been consid -consistently falling as we've been refinancing the | ERIC HESSION <br> upgrades and what you spent on rooms and elsewhere, have you seen returns in New Jersey which are indicative to you that the capital expenditures in that area could be wise? <br> A. Yes. The Convention Center is doing quite well. It's driving a lot of incremental visitation. We need to improve our yield on those rooms, but the demand is certainly there. The one thing we identified early on was that the need of the convention customers to have an upgraded room in the tower where the conventions are held. And as a result, we just completed room renovation of approximately 500 rooms over at Harrah's. If you recall, I think when we communicated the vision for the project, we didn't include those rooms at the time. We had assumed that the addition -- the quality of the rooms that we had would be sufficient. And so that's an example of how we recognized after the facts that we needed to invest further in the property. We wouldn't have achieved the full vision of the Convention Center without doing those rooms, and so we went ahead and did the rooms. The response has been very positive. It's a little too early to evaluate whether we need more refreshed room at Harrah's or |
| ERIC HESSION <br> debt that I referenced. So lower interest rates cause your hurdle rate to fall. The restructured capital structure with the equity that we have will have a lower hurdle rate. And so, actually, this past month we've gone out and had the operators, as we're starting to plan for the fourth quarter -- because we'll have effectively this new capital structure in the fourth quarter. We'll be using a much lower hurdle rate. So the reason that that's important is the projects that we've previously determined to not be efficient because they didn't satisfy a particular return will be easier to qualify for that, and thus should result in increased amount of capital should those projects still be out there and supported by the operators. <br> Q. Now, you've had projects -- aside from the CEOC casinos, you've had significant capital expenditures and projects at Harrah's Atlantic City over the last couple of years; correct? <br> A. Yes. Correct. We've spent just around $\$ 200$ million on the Convention Center, upgrading our hotel product and upgrading the food and beverage as well as some common spaces. <br> Q. And with respect to some of those | ERIC HESSION <br> not, but certainly if that's the case and the return is there, then we could allocate additional capital. <br> We've also done a small number of rooms <br> at Bally's and a few more at Caesars or roughly 450 <br> rooms at Caesars, and those are performing very well, also. In particular what we're seeing in this market, which I think is very encouraging, is higher cash revenues at the hotel. And the reason why I think that's particularly encouraging is because it shows that there is a group of customers that are willing to come to the market, pay for their own way, meaning we don't have to give them the free rooms, the free food and all that, and they're actually going to come and buy food and beverage from us. Buy entertainment and buy the hotel rooms. And that's really a sign of a very strengthening market, in my opinion. <br> And so when you look at where our company is deploying our capital, and if you follow along in our earnings calls and what we're communicating to investors, we're actively deploying a lot of capital into these types of activities. We're upgrading our food and beverage. As you saw over in Harrah's Atlantic City but really across the company, spending a lot of money on that. The hotel product, |
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| 2 as we're seeing in this market and in Las Vegas. With | 2 next few years. But there's certainly flexibility if |
| 3 customers willing to pay for a good quality product | 3 the projects are there that we can absolutely spend |
| 4 and a good experience. That's something that we | 4 more than 225. We have the cash on the balance sheet, |
| 5 invest into. And then from an entertainment | 5 and we're generating enough cash to support |
| 6 perspective, that's also something that we feel | 6 incremental investment. At this point, without seeing |
| 7 customers are willing to pay for. And so investing in | 7 the full portfolio of projects, we can't say how much |
| 8 those make a lot of sense to us right now. And so | 8 that will be, but that option was available for us, |
| 9 that's why, when we think about this market, we've | 9 where it was not over the last couple of years. |
| 10 deployed a lot of capital into these nongaming aspects | 10 Q. And you would anticipate that those -- |
| 11 to try to drive that customer base into the market. | 11 that that placeholder doesn't appear to what they'll |
| 12 And it creates a much more viable environment, such | 12 actually be? |
| 13 that when those customers are here, they also gamble, | 13 A. No. That's just a placeholder that we |
| 14 but they help support the property during those kind | 14 put in. We -- you know, it's one of the things, quite |
| 15 of midweek periods when the gambling demand is not | 15 frankly, that is on my list now that we'll have a much |
| 16 necessarily here. | 16 longer horizon in terms of capital plan to put a |
| 17 Q. And do you have requests for projects | 17 multi-year capital plan together. So that when we get |
| 18 you're evaluating involved rooms and other amenities | 18 their request, what does a particular property's |
| 19 for substantial size for Bally's and Caesars? | 19 capital look like for the next three years? It can be |
| 20 A. Yeah. We do. We're in the process of | 20 much better informed. Right now we plan year to year, |
| 21 putting our capital plan together for the year, and | 21 and it's not an optimal long-term way. This year we |
| 22 we're trying to do a multiple-year strategy because | 22 plan to have a full three-year capital plan that will |
| 23 we'll have a stable capital structure. We want to be | 23 phase in certain projects. And I think it will be |
| 24 able to sequence our big capital deployments so we | 24 much better formed than the kind of just plugs that we |
| 25 don't have too many rooms off the market or that don't | 25 use right now, quite frankly. |
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| 2 deploy much capital in a lumpy way. | 2 Q. All right. You understand that if |
| 3 Particularly, there are some suites at | 3 approved there's a condition that that plan would |
| 4 Caesars Palace here in Atlantic City that are on the | 4 submitted to the Commission and the Division. Do you |
| 5 list in terms of ability to upgrade that we think we | 5 have any approximate with that? |
| 6 can get some good returns. And then the Bally's rooms | 6 A. No. Absolutely not. |
| 7 have performed quite well that I referenced, a few | 7 MR. O'GARA: I don't any other |
| 8 hundred of those. And I think the property certainly | 8 questions. |
| 9 desires to do a large block of Bally's rooms like we | 9 CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 10 did over at Harrah's Atlantic City. And that's been | 10 Division? |
| 11 submitted as part of their request for either 2018 or | 11 MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you. |
| 12 '19. | 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. RICHARDSON: |
| 13 Q. And as you look at those, those will now | 13 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hession. |
| 14 go through, and they have to go through and ultimately | 14 A. Good afternoon. |
| 15 be part of the plan approved by a board. So you can't | 15 Q. You mentioned this in the general sense, |
| 16 commit to doing anything today; right? | 16 but you familiar with the debt transaction that CEC |
| 17 A. That's correct. I can't commit to doing | 17 undertook and along with the subsidiaries between 2008 |
| 18 anything today. The one thing that we will have going | 18 and 2014? |
| 19 forward is that we will have the ability to deploy | 19 A. Yes. Some more than others, but, yes. |
| 20 capital in excess of $\$ 225$ million cap that we've been | 20 Q. And what were the primary purposes of |
| 21 under for the last couple years. So as I mentioned, | 21 those transactions? |
| 22 certainly in the fourth quarter, we plan to go ask our | 22 A. The primary purposes were threefold, I |
| 23 board to go, increase beyond that amount. We've shown | 23 would say. Each has their own, you know, particular |
| 24 in our plan in the S-4 and the materials that we've | 24 characteristic and driver. But one would be to ensure |
| 25 provided that we would hit the 225 right on for the | 25 that we had sufficient liquidity. By that, it's |
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| 2 basically having enough cash so that we don't run out | 2 Q. Mr. Donovan touched on this a bit, but |
| 3 of -- run out of money. | 3 would you also agree that these debt transactions |
| 4 Second is to ensure that we didn't trip | 4 provided CEC with additional time, but it did not |
| 5 any covenants. Certain debt instruments have | 5 address the magnitude of the debt service requirements |
| 6 requirements in terms of leverage or various spending | 6 relative to the consolidated CEC EBITDA base? |
| 7 requirements, and we wanted to make sure that we could | 7 A. Yes. That's also very correct. At the |
| 8 satisfy all those. | 8 time of the leverage buyout, we were making about \$2.8 |
| 9 Third was duration or maturity. The | 9 billion worth of EBITDA cash flow. At the time 2014, |
| 10 last thing we wanted to do would be to end up in a | 10 we were just under 1.5 billion. So we had a decrease |
| 11 position where you have debt that's coming due where | 11 of just shy of 50--50 percent. And our belief was |
| 12 you are unable to refinance or pay it off. What | 12 that the casino industry would recover much like other |
| 13 happens then is that you have to reflect it as a | 13 discretionary income sources. You know, autos |
| 14 current liability on your balance sheet. And, | 14 recovered reasonably quickly. Real estate recovered. |
| 15 therefore, that would trigger acceleration on all the | 15 The hotel markets recovered. And our casino industry, |
| 16 debt, and you'd have problems of making sure that we | 16 unfortunately, lagged by probably two to three years |
| 17 had sufficient duration, was one of the criteria. | 17 behind what other industries were. And we saw that |
| 18 And then fourth, to the extent possible, | 18 recovery occur in 2016. And, quite frankly, it |
| 19 given that a lot of our debt traded at a discount, if | 19 occurred about six months too late I think. |
| 20 we were able to retire that debt at the market prices, | 20 Otherwise, I think we might have had a different |
| 21 it would accelerate our ability to de-lever and use | 21 situation. It's tough to speculate. But we certainly |
| 22 each dollar cash more efficiently. | 22 had an outstanding 2016-- or sorry -- '15 relative to |
| 23 Q. And some of the transactions in the | 23 '14. |
| 24 latter part of that seven-year period required the | 24 Q. And those standing issues throughout the |
| 25 issuance of new debt at higher interest rates than the | 25 period, was that one of the primary reasons that CEOC |
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| 2 debt that was redeemed; is that correct? | 2 assets were sold to CGP in 2014? |
| 3 A. Yes. That's absolutely correct. | A. Yes. There were -- CEOC had a number of |
| 4 Earlier, there's a discussion with Mr. Donovan about | 4 assets that required significant capital to be able to |
| 5 the debt at the leverage buyout and immediate -- | 5 fulfill the potential of those project -- properties. |
| 6 pre-leverage buyout and post. But to your point, and | 6 A great example is the Imperial Palace right on the |
| 7 where you're going is that even that post amount of | 7 Strip. It was not in excellent condition, you could |
| 8 debt had reasonably good interest rates. However, | 8 say. And the optimum use of that would have been to |
| 9 over the years, as the company's stress increased and | 9 completely renovate it and put significant capital in. |
| 10 the markets recognized it, the refinancing of that | 10 CEOC didn't have the resources from a liquidity or a |
| 11 debt came at increasingly high interest rates. | 11 debt perspective to do that. So from a CGP |
| 12 Q. And that resulted in an increase in | 12 perspective, they were able to invest, spend about |
| 13 CEC's consolidated interest expense over the period; | 13 \$260 million, and the property is doing exceptionally |
| 14 is that correct? | 14 well. That's an example of one of those properties |
| 15 A. Yes. Absolutely. | 15 that was better optimized by being in the other |
| 16 Q. Do you recall the amount of that | 16 capital structure. |
| 17 increase? | 17 Q. And what was sold in that asset sale |
| 18 A. I -- I don't. It was -- it was | 18 then? |
| 19 substantial increase, and I know it ended at around | 19 A. Let's see. That would have been the |
| 202.5 billion per year of interest. But I apologize. I | 20 Cromwell. The Imperial Palace, or it was called The |
| 21 don't know what it started at the time of the leverage | 21 Linq at the time. And Bally's. I think those are the |
| 22 buyout. | 22 three. |
| 23 Q. I think it was approximately two | 23 Q. And also was Harrah's New Orleans one of |
| 24 billion. | 24 them? |
| 25 A. Two billion? Okay. | 25 A. Oh, yeah. And Harrah's New Orleans. |
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| ERIC HESSION <br> Yes. Thank you. <br> Q. And what proceeds were received from that sale? <br> A. Boy. I don't recall the exact dollar amount, but there is a sizable amount of cash that was received by CEOC for those assets. <br> Q. And that was used for -- how was that used by CEOC? <br> A. It was for general purposes. But it effectively went to interest and reinvestment in the businesses. It was -- it was consumed because the CEOC entity was generating that negative cash, and so it was used for those purposes. <br> Q. Do you recall that the Division <br> concluded in a May 2014 report on a CEOC refinancing that the sale of assets would not be a reasonable or sustainable strategy going forward to deal with its debt? <br> A. I don't -- I don't recall the <br> conclusion, but it's certainly an accurate statement. <br> Q. What efforts did CEOC undertake after that 2014 asset sale to address its debt issues? <br> A. So as we talked about, you know, we continued to try to figure out ways to keep our | ERIC HESSION <br> report. However, the timing of the report, the action that occurred after the report, the outcome of the ultimate restructuring with respect to how it was very close to the high end of that value referenced in the report would seem to indicate that the report was used by the parties to help come to a -- kind of an agreement in terms of value so that the ultimate restructuring support agreements could be signed. <br> Q. It's been mentioned that one component of the confirmed plan is the merger of CAC with and into CEC. What's the financial impact of that merger? <br> A. So the direct financial impact should be a modest reduction in expense. So we'll have the elimination of some dualistic cost, some audit fees, some complexities associated with our accounting. The practical nature will be simply that we'll have a much easier capital structure with which to communicate to investors and to understand and just have a single listed -- listed company. <br> Q. And CEC also obtains the benefit of obtaining CAC cash from that transaction; correct? <br> A. Yeah. Absolutely. I'm sorry. I was answering it more from a -- you know, a financial -direct financial perspective. But, yeah. As part of |
| ERIC HESSION <br> horizon going so that the recovery could ultimately happen and the business could perform. And, you know, to your point, selling assets to generate cash wasn't the sustainable solution, but it did provide additional runway. The sustainable outcome would have been the performance the business returning to the way it was and kind of how it is now. But, unfortunately, that didn't happen. As Mr. Donovan referenced earlier, one of the objectives was to try to negotiate with the creditors a solution. And that would have been another way to address the problem. Unfortunately, that didn't -- didn't work. <br> Q. And as you're saying then, despite <br> certain efforts that remained, ultimately bankruptcy was filed, as we're all aware, in January of 2015? <br> A. That's right. <br> Q. What role did the examiner's report have in the negotiations resulting in the final plan? Mr. Donovan discussed the report a bit. What's your view on that? <br> A. Yeah. I agree with his conclusion. You know, all the various parties were negotiating on their own behalf. So it's difficult for us to speculate exactly how each interpreted and used that | ERIC HESSION <br> the restructuring, the merger of the two entities allows all of the cash that's in all of the subsidiaries, both CEC and CGP, to be used for their structuring. There's a sizable amount of cash at the CGP level due to the sale of our interactive entertainment business, and so that along with just the cash that was on the balance sheet when that entity was formed, will be used as part of the consideration that goes to the lenders in addition to the shares that are being given out by -- by CEC. <br> Q. And as part of the shares of CAC stock is being exchanged for CEC stock; is that right? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. And as part of the restructuring, CEC will also be issuing convertible notes; is that correct? <br> A. Yes. That's correct. <br> Q. And what's generally the purpose of issuing those notes? <br> A. Well, again, the -- how that was arrived was through a -- through this series of negotiations. The benefit of a convertible note is that it's simply a different security that has characteristics of both debt and equity. And so the parties, as they were |
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| 2 negotiating, determined that there would either be a | 2 restructuring, our cash flow generation will be a |
| 3 subset of investors that wanted a convertible note or | 3 positive. So the ultimate outcome of this from a |
| 4 that the belief that the performance of the company | 4 company perspective is that we'll be in much better |
| 5 would create additional value by issuing that | 5 shape than beforehand. And really what's happened out |
| 6 convertible note. In my opinion, it's the latter, and | 6 of this restructuring and two-year negotiation is just |
| 7 that convertible note has sizable value. It's struck | 7 the equity and all the value has been split up between |
| 8 at a price that's below where the current stock price | 8 the different parties, but it doesn't really impact |
| 9 is trading, and it carries a five-percent coupon. So | 9 the operations other than making it much stronger from |
| 10 I think it's going to trade very well. And it's part | 10 a going-forward perspective. |
| 11 of the value that the second lien lenders ascribe to | 11 Q. And along with what you said there, do |
| 12 the restructuring since they'll be receiving all of | 12 the forecasts overall indicate an increased |
| 13 that convertible note. | 13 improvement in liquidity for CEC and its subsidiaries |
| 14 Q. What will the cash liquidity of CEC and | 14 then? |
| 15 its subsidiaries be after the plan effective date? | 15 A. Yes. We've provided in the S-4, which |
| 16 A. It's projected to be very strong. We'll | 16 is the public merger documents, and we've provided |
| 17 have around \$2 billion worth of cash in -- from a | 17 some additional detail to the -- to the team here, |
| 18 consolidated perspective. We require roughly \$500 | 18 which I think are relatively conservative. They |
| 19 million to run the business when you add up all of our | 19 assume, you know, general growth in the economy but |
| 20 cage cashes and all the payment cycles associated with | 20 nothing exceptional. Fairly good cost management on |
| 21 our AP and payroll. There's probably another 500 | 21 our part. But, again, not like we've seen over the |
| 22 million that we will be -- as we simplify our capital | 22 last few years. So I think it's there's an upside to |
| 23 structure will be released but won't be available to | 23 those. And the reason we provided those was we didn't |
| 24 us on day one due to certain restrictions with some of | 24 want to come out and disappoint or come out and have |
| 25 the covenants in our subsidiary's debt, which will | 25 issues like we did in 2008 during the last leverage |
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| 2 then leave about a billion dollars in cash that will | 2 buyout. So we're coming out with much more |
| 3 be able to use. And so as I mentioned earlier, that | 3 conservative projects and much lower leverage and a |
| 4 cash, in addition to the fact that we'll be generating | 4 lot of cash on the balance sheet. So it's a much more |
| 5 sizable cash as we move forward, puts the company in | 5 stable situation. |
| 6 an entirely different position than we've been in and | 6 Q. And that projected liquidity would be |
| 7 one that's in a very strong position going forward. | 7 after funding cash needs and including capital |
| 8 Q. Do you believe that the higher | 8 improvements then? |
| 9 distributions required under the confirmed plan will | 9 A. Absolutely. Yes. |
| 10 negatively impact the financial position of CEC in any | 10 Q. And you noted earlier that there -- it's |
| 11 way? | 11 indicated on the exhibit on the chart at Page 3 that |
| 12 A. The distributions -- | 12 there's a dramatic reduction in the CEOC debt through |
| 13 Q. To creditors? | 13 the plan. It was approximately 16 billion reduction. |
| 14 A. Oh, to creditors? No. In fact -- in | 14 That leaves CEOC with 1.6 billion in long-term debt |
| 15 fact, the opposite. The ultimate plan that we're | 15 balance going forward; is that right? |
| 16 ending up with gave away a lot of equity to the | 16 A. Yes. |
| 17 creditors. That has no impact on operations. All | 17 Q. And the annual interest expense and |
| 18 that does is shift value from one party to another. | 18 lease payments will be about 863 million lower than |
| 19 The amount of cash that's being left on the balance | 19 their prebankruptcy interest expenses; is that right? |
| 20 sheet as a result of the ultimate negotiation is much | 20 A. That's correct. |
| 21 size -- much higher than what you'd see in a lot of | 21 Q. Part of the 1.6 billion CEOC new debt |
| 22 restructurings. A lot of restructurings have a | 22 balance is a term loan; is that right? |
| 23 situation where all the cash is taken out at the time | 23 A. Yes, it is. |
| 24 of the emergence so this is slightly different. And | 24 Q. What's the amount of that loan? |
| 25 so -- and certainly compared to 2014 and prior to the | 25 A. It's $\$ 1.235$ billion. And it was -- went |
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| ERIC HESSION <br> out -- because that's part of the criteria for emergence, we had to raise this money publicly. We went out in the public markets. And we have received commitments from various funds and institutions. That money hasn't been drawn down yet, but there's never been an instance where that's been broken. So the money is there on the date of emergence. We'll pull that money down. It was done at an excellent rate, so it's LIBOR plus 250. Two and a half percent, which is -- for example, we had some debt that was LIBOR plus 800 and so forth that we were dealing with pre-restructuring. So a sizable reduction in interest expense and in magnitude. <br> Q. And CEOC will also have available a $\$ 200$ million revolving credit facility; is that right? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. Do you anticipate any borrowings on that facility during the forecast period in the next two years? <br> A. No. We put that facility in place for flexibility. But we're generating positive cash flow at CEOC, and we anticipate emerging with sizable cash balance. And the facility will not be drawn on emergence, so we don't anticipate pulling that down at | ERIC HESSION <br> that there will not be an allocation initially, but <br> you are aware that there's been a modified condition <br> proposed that there would be prior notice and approval <br> required before implementing any allocation in the future. <br> A. Yes. Yes. <br> Q. And CEC and CEOC are prepared to comply <br> with that condition? <br> A. Yes. Absolutely. <br> Q. The Master Lease contained certain <br> minimums regarding capital expenditures; is that correct? <br> A. Yes, that is. <br> Q. And those are annual requirements? <br> A. There are a number of requirements. <br> There is an annual requirement. There's also a <br> three-year minimum rolling requirement, as well as a few other requirements. But the two that I think are going to be the limiting ones, even though we'll <br> spend, in my view, well excess of those are the annual as well as the three-year requirement. <br> Q. And what are those amounts under the Master Lease? <br> A. I believe the annual is a hundred |
| ERIC HESSION <br> this point. <br> Q. And as to the leasing arrangement under the REIT structure, you mention that the annual rent is 465 million due from CEOC for the nonCPLV properties; is that right? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. And no portion of that rent will be reported on the financial statements of the Atlantic City licensees, Caesars and Bally's? <br> A. No. Not of the -- not of the rent. As <br> I've mentioned, there had been the failed sale accounting treatment could be -- somewhere some reflection on the balance sheets. That hasn't been determined yet. But there shouldn't be a direct lease payment reflected since it's being made at the parent level. <br> Q. Just following up on that discussion from earlier, you're aware that the Division modified it's proposed condition which initially required submission of the methodology and the proposed allocation of the lease payments. <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And Mr. O'Gara asked sort of a variation of this, but just to confirm, you're representing here | ERIC HESSION <br> million and the three-year is 390 million? Or 380 <br> million? <br> Q. I believe it's 495. <br> A. 495. Okay. <br> Q. Without addressing the specific numbers, CEOC -- to expand on what you just said -- CEOC has actually forecasted capital expenditure projects over the next three years which total in excess of the minimums. Would that be fair to say? <br> A. Yes. And -- and the figures that we've represented in S-4 and all of our communications have been at that $\$ 225$ million level, which would easily satisfy all of the minimum requirements. It would be in excess of that. <br> Q. And under the triple net structure of the lease that was referenced earlier, the capital expenditure amounts required our obligation of CEOC; is that right? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And CEOC is also responsible for maintenance and repair expenses? <br> A. Yes. Correct. <br> Q. What input do the REIT landlord entities have in the cap ex spending process? |
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| 2 A. Essentially minimal. They have -- they | 2 now. After the 2008 acquisition, what was the impact |
| 3 have some requirements if we modify the structure of | 3 on Caesars and Bally's as to capital expenditures? |
| 4 the building in a significant way, but that's only in | 4 A. Well, initially in the first few years, |
| 5 certain large, major renovations. They have, you | 5 I suspect -- and again, this is harping back a bit. I |
| 6 know, just a review, kind of approval rights. But in | 6 suspect it wasn't much of an impact. The company |
| 7 terms of the allocation and actual deployment of that | 7 still had sufficient liquidity, and we were spending a |
| 8 capital and what we decide to buy, they don't have | 8 sizable amount of capital. In the years leading up to |
| 9 any -- any influence over that. | 9 the ultimate filing, capital was much tighter and |
| 10 Q. Do they have approval rights over | 10 reduced significantly. And so, you know, not to |
| 11 certain projects over a particular dollar value | 11 reference any specific property, but in aggregate, our |
| 12 amount? | 12 capital was certainly pulled back from all of the |
| 13 A. They have -- they have -- | 13 properties at CEOC. And it was being -- it was -- we |
| 14 CHAIR LEVINSON: I'm sorry. The "they," | 14 were forced to allocate that capital between |
| 15 VICI you're talking about? | 15 properties at a much lower level. And so Bally's and |
| 16 MS. RICHARDSON: Yeah. The REIT. | 16 Caesars likely received lower capital investment |
| 17 CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 17 during that period of time. |
| 18 A. They have certain rights under certain | 18 Q. And in those type of issues, did they |
| 19 circumstances to, for example -- I believe if a | 19 impact Harrah's as a CERP property during that time? |
| 20 project is over \$50 million, they have a right to | 20 A. To some degree, yes. But not as much as |
| 21 potentially finance that project. They have also | 21 CEOC. CERP did have interest rate and leverage issues |
| 22 certain rights, as I mentioned, with respect to the | 22 as well. That was resolved in -- I think it was 2013 |
| 23 structures, that if we modify the structures or change | 23 time frame when that entity was refinanced. And that |
| 24 it in any significant way that they have kind of a | 24 enabled us to then be able to deploy the significant |
| 25 review rights over those projects. But beyond that, I | 25 amount of capital that we did into CERP. And into |
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| 1 ERIC HESSION | 1 ERIC HESSION |
| 2 don't think they have any rights. | 2 Harrah's, rather, with the Convention Center. |
| 3 Q. Harrah's Atlantic City was discussed | 3 Q. So over the years since the 2008 |
| 4 briefly earlier. They are currently owned by CERP; is | 4 acquisition, cap ex at Caesars and Bally's have been |
| 5 that right? | 5 about 46 percent less than the average annual amounts |
| 6 A. Yes. Correct. | 6 spent by nonCEC properties in Atlantic City. Does |
| 7 Q. And they were not part of the CEOC | 7 that sound right? |
| 8 bankruptcy then? | 8 A. It sounds right. Yeah. |
| 9 A. That's correct. | $9 \quad$ Q. Do you believe that those limited |
| 10 Q. But there's also -- there is a draft | 10 capital expenditures at Caesars and Bally's have had a |
| 11 call right agreement which provides for the exercise | 11 correlating impact on net revenues during that same |
| 12 of an option for the REIT to purchase Harrah's real | 12 period? |
| 13 estate assets at some future date. | 13 A. It's hard to say. I would say there's |
| 14 A. Yes. That's correct. | 14 probably some correlation, but I'm not sure that I |
| 15 Q. Are you also aware that the Division has | 15 would say it's all directly, you know -- you know, |
| 16 recommended a condition that Harrah's and its holding | 16 it's correlated one for one. If you look at where |
| 17 and intermediary companies must obtain regulatory | 17 we've had success deploying capital in some markets, |
| 18 approval to sell or in any way transfer or dispose of | 18 we've spent capital and had no returns. In other |
| 19 its real estate assets of Harrah's to the REIT? | 19 markets, we've spent limited capital and had great |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 returns. So we try to do our best in determining |
| 21 Q. And Mr. Donovan has represented this | 21 where those returns will be and what drives the |
| 22 already, but do you also confirm that the Caesars | 22 customer's response. And generally -- generally, you |
| 23 entities will comply with that condition? | 23 know, we think we do a good job deploying that |
| 24 A. Yes. For sure. | 24 capital. But it's not necessarily one for one. |
| 25 Q. Focusing on Caesars and Bally's right | 25 For example, there was a belief a while |
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| 2 ago in our company that you needed to continually | 2 the three marina properties have -- and, again, I'm |
| 3 refresh your slot floor every five years. We've | 3 speaking a little bit without all the data in front of |
| 4 really seen that that had almost no impact on play. | 4 me. But I believe over the last three years, anyway, |
| 5 Now what we're seeing actually, is that the new games | 5 have out performed the market in general and that |
| 6 and the new cabinets do drive incremental play. And | 6 those three properties, due to their assets, due to |
| 7 this is in the last year or so. And as a result, | 7 their location, due to a variety of factors, have done |
| 8 investing in slots is becoming more appealing to us. | 8 better than average. And so the prop -- having |
| 9 That's just an example of how, you know, you could | 9 Harrah's -- having Caesars and Bally's be on the |
| 10 deploy capital and not get returns directly from that. | 10 Boardwalk has obviously then caused them to be in the |
| 11 But in general, there's -- I would say | 11 group that has trailed. |
| 12 there is a -- a correlation between nonmaintenance | 12 Additionally, I think having the Trump |
| 13 related capital and revenues. | 13 property next door close, a lot of those customers |
| 14 Q. And during that period, Caesars and | 14 used to lodge there and come over, has been a negative |
| 15 Bally's both have experienced significant net revenue | 15 for both Caesars and Bally's. |
| 16 decline since 2008. Would you agree with that? | 16 And then finally, with respect to |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 Bally's in particular, it's a very -- it was a very |
| 18 Q. Showboat Atlantic City closed in 2014; | 18 heavy bus business and relatively low end -- lower end |
| 19 is that correct? | 19 slot business. And that in particular has been hurt |
| 20 A. Yeah. '13 or '14. I'll take your word | 20 in this market by the competition surrounding it. |
| 21 it was '14. Yeah. | 21 That's been a, not just the last three-year item, but |
| 22 Q. Okay. Wasn't it anticipated before the | 22 it's a been a seven- or eight-year item as you are all |
| 23 closure that the other CEOC properties would benefit | 23 aware. But certainly in the last three years, that's |
| 24 by the Showboat customers migrating to them? | 24 impacted Bally's. |
| 25 A. Yeah. We felt that because of the Total | 25 From a profitability perspective, |
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| 2 Rewards program, because of the relationship with the | 2 Bally's also is a very old and compartmentalized |
| 3 hosts and the ability to market to them that they | 3 property, and so it's inefficient in just staffing the |
| 4 would benefit disproportionately. There's no question | 4 casino, the back of the house, et cetera. So it |
| 5 that we wouldn't retain all of those customers just | 5 carries with it a number of additional costs that |
| 6 because we don't have a hundred percent of a customer | 6 other properties, more modern properties, don't carry |
| 7 spend today when we come to the market. | 7 with them. |
| $8 \quad$ Q. Did that ultimately happen? | 8 Q. And with the emergence from bankruptcy, |
| 9 A. Yeah. I don't have the figures in front | 9 is it fair to characterize what you have said, that |
| 10 of me. I think from what I've seen that our retention | 10 CEOC will have the means to increase cap ex spending |
| 11 of those customers was lower than what we had | 11 at Caesars and Bally's? |
| 12 originally anticipated. But I did think we retained | 12 A. Yes. That's fair to say. We had |
| 13 quite a bit at the three properties. | 13 previously the ability to invest some funds. We were |
| 14 Q. And again over that period from about | 14 limited to the \$225 million a year. Going forward, |
| 152013 through '16, Caesars and Bally's again had | 15 our cost to capital will be lower. We will have the |
| 16 experienced more substantial decline than others in | 16 ability to spend more than 225 million if -- if the |
| 17 the Atlantic City market? | 17 projects allow for that and the returns are there. |
| 18 A. From a revenue standpoint. | 18 And certainly, as I mentioned, some of the returns |
| 19 Q. Yes. Uh-hum. | 19 that we've seen on renovating the rooms that we have |
| 20 A. Yes. I believe that's correct. | 20 done, although in limited quantity at Bally's and |
| 21 Q. What do you think are factors in the | 21 Caesars, have provided fairly solid returns. |
| 22 underperformance of Caesars and Bally's relative to | 22 Q. And you've gone over the placeholder |
| 23 their competitors? | 23 amounts that have been indicated in the forecast |
| 24 A. Well, I think there are a number of | 24 provided to the Division, which are essentially five |
| 25 different things. I think that the marina properties, | 25 million cap ex spending for each of the properties |
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| 2 year, to react and change that plan with some | 2 forward. Some of the -- most of this would be |
| 3 restraints by the board. And that will be something | 3 nongaming, so it would be just a way to interact. But |
| 4 we will have to work with them to determine what level | 4 it will create an exciting environment for people to |
| 5 of comfort they'll allow us to change our capital plan | 5 come to the casino and visit us more. That's all |
| 6 without having to ask them for additional authority. | 6 being funded centrally. And then each property, |
| 7 But there's generally flexibility because, again, | 7 because it will be technology, will be able to roll it |
| 8 setting a plan 12 months out, things change. And | 8 out quite easily. So we're excited about that. |
| 9 sometimes we need to redeploy assets in certain -- | 9 Now, we have a team that's focused on |
| 10 certain ways that we hadn't anticipated at the | 10 machine learning and big data right now. That's also, |
| 11 beginning of the year. But that's generally the | 11 in my mind, very exciting. The challenge is, it's |
| 12 process. | 12 very expensive because you have to employ very |
| 13 And then every month, we go through the | 13 high-priced talent. And it also involves a lot of |
| 14 requests from all of the different properties. Some | 14 expenses associated with collecting and analyzing data |
| 15 them are in the plan, it's fairly easy because we've | 15 and building algorithms that can help run your |
| 16 already reviewed it. If there are new projects that | 16 business better. Those are being developed centrally. |
| 17 come along, then we review them each month and get | 17 And then when they are determined to be effective, |
| 18 those approved. If it's under 250,000, it's just a | 18 they get rolled out. So we have some right now going |
| 19 weekly approval process that's generally perfunctory. | 19 on the hotel pricing algorithms that are being used |
| 20 Q. So at this stage, there are no | 20 here in Atlantic City. |
| 21 assurances concerning what projects may be approved or | 21 One of the biggest marketing initiatives |
| 22 undertaken particularly in Atlantic City? | 22 we have is basically a venture that we formed with |
| 23 A. No. There really -- with the exception | 23 salesforce.com about we're going to be entirely |
| 24 of some hotel projects that are starting early in next | 24 revamping our offers systems in a marketing engine. |
| 25 year, where we need to buy a long lead time, FF\&E | 25 It's a multi-year project. Again, very, very |
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| 2 items, there really aren't any assurances for any | 2 expensive. They've partnered with us. We announced |
| 3 projects. | 3 it about a month and a half ago. That's going to be a |
| 4 Q. And you noted -- you testified to Mr. | 4 tool that will enable the host to be much more |
| 5 O'Gara's questions that there were certain CES | 5 effective, enable our marketing team to be able to |
| 6 benefits that would accrue to the Atlantic City | 6 push out realtime offers through our Total Rewards |
| 7 licensees and also other licensees. You mentioned the | 7 app, and be able to really engage the customer much |
| 8 cloud-based general ledger. Is there any other | 8 more quickly as opposed to having to send out long |
| 9 projects that you would account for that benefitted | 9 lead time mail campaigns. And that's something that, |
| 10 the local licensees here? | 10 again, we think is very exciting. We'll get the |
| 11 A. Yeah. Absolutely. Some of the most | 11 benefits of that towards the back part of next year. |
| 12 exciting things that we have going on, I think, are | 12 But the investments being made today. And all of |
| 13 really in the IT field. So we are developing what | 13 these are items that have a component of both capital |
| 14 we're calling the Casino of the Future. It's really a | 14 and expense. And all that capital is done at the |
| 15 technology platform that we are trying to develop that | 15 corporate level, but it will benefit every property in |
| 16 will allow customers to be fully integrated with us | 16 the network. |
| 17 through their handheld devices, through the gaming, | 17 Q. Do you have an estimate of overall costs |
| 18 through a variety of different activities as they walk | 18 of those improvements across the board in IT and also |
| 19 into the property. We think it's a great way to | 19 the administrative for CEOC? |
| 20 address the change that's going on in terms of the way | 20 A. Yeah. As I've mentioned in the past, |
| 21 people interact and the expectations that they have | 21 sometimes they've been around a hundred million |
| 22 when they come to the casino. We don't have a lot to | 22 because we're heavy to the cloud and the cloud has a |
| 23 announce at this point, but it's something that we've | 23 lot of expense just because that's how it's booked |
| 24 been working on, and it's something that we believe, | 24 from an accounting perspective. We think that that |
| 25 you know, the industry has to continue to push | 25 will be between 40 and $\$ 60$ million a year. That's |
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| 2 just the capital component. The expense component, my | 2 properties when those factors are evaluated when |
| 3 guess would be probably another 30 to 40 million. | 3 looking at projects? |
| 4 Q. And those various initiatives that you | 4 A. So we'll -- we're generally -- we |
| 5 discussed either in development or already implemented | 5 generally have two hurdle rates. One hurdle rate will |
| 6 on the IT side, would you consider those revenue | 6 be for Las Vegas. And one will be for the rest of our |
| 7 enhancing projects. | 7 properties. The reason that Las Vegas has a different |
| 8 A. Yeah. Nearly all of them are designed | 8 hurdle rate is that investors value a dollar of EBITDA |
| 9 to do a better job, either serving our customer which | 9 made in Las Vegas as a different multiple than they do |
| 10 then leads to better customer response and improved | 10 any other regional operator, or Atlantic City for that |
| 11 spend within our properties. You know, better ability | 11 matter. So from that perspective, there's a little |
| 12 for our employees to service those customers or better | 12 bit of a difference. Beyond that, we generally try to |
| 13 marketing approaches so that we're more effective or | 13 use a -- you know, a formula approach where we work |
| 14 better ways to deal with those customers. | 14 with the operators to identify the returns associated |
| 15 There are a few that are on the expense | 15 with each of the projects and do a discounted cash |
| 16 side. For example, one of our big initiatives is to | 16 flow present value analysis to see if it hits that |
| 17 get people on our Total Rewards application. We had a | 17 hurdle rate. There are definitely, you know, |
| 18 million downloads in the first quarter of this year. | 18 qualitative factors that come into play. But, in |
| 19 And what that does is it allows us to replace direct | 19 general, if a project at one property returns more on |
| 20 mail. And so direct mail is slow and expensive. And | 20 a per-dollar capital invested than another property, |
| 21 to be able to push things out on the app, I think it's | 21 then that higher value project gets pursued. |
| 22 really the future. And so that's an expensive | 22 Q. And would you say that Caesars and |
| 23 initiative. | 23 Bally's have not been considered to satisfy those |
| 24 You know, one of the initiatives that we | 24 factors as well? Or do you feel that it's more not |
| 25 started -- we piloted it in Las Vegas and then we've | 25 necessarily that evaluation but rather the bankruptcy |
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| 2 rolled it out here recently, and I just actually used | 2 situation that has constrained that analysis? What |
| 3 this this morning when I checked out of the hotel is | 3 would you say? |
| 4 that the automatic kiosk, check in, check out. So | 4 A. I would say it's a combination of the |
| 5 that's a project that we -- initially it was, you | 5 two. The fact that our company has been very limited |
| 6 know, one of the areas where we were -- our customers | 6 in capital has made it a challenge. The other is |
| 7 were most critical of our service. And we went | 7 that, you know, as I mentioned until recently, it was |
| 8 through a number of versions. It's complicated to do | 8 very difficult to get a positive return on the |
| 9 in the hotel environment that we have in Las Vegas. | 9 Boardwalk properties because of the declines that |
| 10 We got that running, and now we're rolling it out | 10 we've seen in the market and the demand and coming |
| 11 across the entire company. Right now we get a little | 11 from the customers. The returns, however, from, for |
| 12 over 30 percent of our check-ins in Las Vegas are done | 12 example, the Wild Wild West renovation have been very |
| 13 via the kiosk, which really helps customer service and | 13 well received, and the returns from some of the new |
| 14 it helps the lines. I noticed -- and I was at Caesars | 14 room projects that we've put in have been well |
| 15 this morning, and we had these kiosks up with the host | 15 received. So I think from that standpoint, you'll see |
| 16 trying to get that moving. So that's an example again | 16 a change in the ability to propose projects that |
| 17 of a centralized project that was funded, piloted, and | 17 satisfy those returns. |
| 18 now is being rolled out companywide. | 18 Q. And are there other areas that CEOC must |
| 19 Q. And you discussed that there is various | 19 address in addition to capital expenditures in order |
| 20 factors which are considered in the approval process | 20 to improve performance at Caesars and Bally's? |
| 21 for capital expenditures. The one you talked about | 21 A. Well, it's a tough question. I -- you |
| 22 the most was the hurdle rate, the potential return on | 22 know, I would say we are always trying to come up with |
| 23 investment for costs that are expended? Where would | 23 new ways to drive customers to the property, to create |
| 24 you say, looking at Caesars and Bally's, where those | 24 an exciting environment, create a good work |
| 25 Atlantic City licenses stand in relation to other | 25 environment for the employees because all that goes |
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| 2 together. And so there are definitely areas that we | 2 | that approximately \$200 million was spent at |
| 3 need to improve. That said, you know, we're | 3 | Harrah's on renovations, particular room |
| 4 continually trying to do that. And that's the part of | 4 | renovations. And you also indicated you've had |
| 5 the job of the management, to always work to create | 5 | good -- the results have been good on that |
| 6 those environments. | 6 | investment as well as the Conference Center, |
| 7 Q. And you've already addressed this in | 7 | which was a separate thing. But my question is |
| 8 part, but you're aware that the Division has | 8 | this. Recently Hard Rock announced that |
| 9 recommended a condition that CEC submit detailed | 9 | they're going to be spending close to \$500 |
| 10 capital expenditures plans and operational improvement | 10 | million to renovate the Taj Mahal. My |
| 11 plans for the Caesars and Bally's for the next two | 11 | question -- question is what -- that number, |
| 12 years, including target dates for those plans for | 12 | what effect will that have on what you plan to |
| 13 completion? | 13 | spend on Bally and Caesars and the projects |
| 14 A. Yes. | 14 | when you hear that, you know, 500 million is |
| 15 Q. You're aware that those plans are due 90 | 15 | being spent? Can you elaborate on what effect |
| 16 days after the effective date? | 16 | it's going to have? |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. You know, it's |
| 18 Q. And CEOC will comply with that | 18 | definitely a big number, and it's going to |
| 19 condition? | 19 | create a knew entrant into the market. At a |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 | capital level of that size, it should be a high |
| 21 Q. You are also aware that the Division has | 21 | quality asset. They should be able to renovate |
| 22 recommended a condition that at the end of the each | 22 | the facility. So it's definitely going to be a |
| 23 calendar quarter, CEC shall submit a report to the | 23 | sizable competitor, and as a result it produces |
| 24 Division detailing its progress towards meeting goals | 24 | risk. And what risk does is it then causes you |
| 25 of the capital expenditure plans and operational | 25 | to be more critical of various investments. |
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| 2 improvement plans? | 2 | And so when we take about that, we'll have to |
| 3 A. Yes. | 3 | take that into account. |
| 4 Q. And CEC will also comply with that | 4 | That said, you know, the investments |
| 5 condition? | 5 | that we're talking about at the properties and |
| 6 A. Yes. | 6 | the investments that we've made, I'm not sure |
| 7 Q. You've reviewed the remaining conditions | 7 | there would really be much of a change going |
| 8 the Division submitted with its June 23rd report? | 8 | forward. We'll obviously take competitive |
| 9 A. Yes. | 9 | dynamics into account. But from a -- from a |
| 10 Q. Do you see any issues with complying | 10 | purely return-based perspective, I wouldn't |
| 11 with those conditions other -- the other conditions | 11 | expect a huge change. As you've heard, and |
| 12 that we haven't mentioned through your testimony | 12 | when I've listed off the projects, you know, |
| 13 today? | 13 | it's room renovations, doing some of the |
| 14 A. No. No concerns. | 14 | ceilings doing -- we'll obviously put some slot |
| 15 MS. RICHARDSON: Thank you. I have no | 15 | machines into the properties. These aren't |
| 16 other questions. | 16 | \$200 million conference center on the |
| 17 CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 17 | Boardwalk. You know, they're not those |
| 18 Mr. O'Gara? Followup. | 18 | magnitude of numbers that we're talking about. |
| 19 MR. O'GARA: No. | 19 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: With regard to |
| 20 CHAIR LEVINSON: Commissioners, any | 20 | Bally and Caesars -- again, Harrah's is not in |
| 21 questions for the witness? | 21 | the equation today. Where do you think |
| 22 COMMISSIONER COOPER: I have -- I have. | 22 | Atlantic City and your Atlantic City casinos |
| 23 First, thank you for all your testimony | 23 | will be in the next five? Take it a little |
| 24 and for being here. | 24 | further, even ten years? What is your |
| 25 A little while ago you had mentioned | 25 | forecast? |
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| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, it's a -- | 2 | business. And so anything we do, we need to |
| 3 | it's a very good question and one that we talk | 3 | take that into account and make sure that we |
| 4 | about a lot. I'm sure you do as well as -- on | 4 | are tracking new customers as well as not |
| 5 | the Commission and with a lot of the staff. | 5 | alienating old customers. Generally, though, |
| 6 | You know, our view is that the -- and it's part | 6 | when you enhance an asset or you create an |
| 7 | of the reason that I hired -- or I highlighted | 7 | additional option or a tool, it's generally |
| 8 | the cash spend for the hotel product because, | 8 | appealing to everyone. And so what we've found |
| 9 | to me, that indicates true demand that's coming | 9 | when we, let's say, build out a new restaurant, |
| 10 | to the city as opposed to demand that we have | 10 | new -- that might angle towards a new customer |
| 11 | to pull in as the casinos. So to the extent | 11 | base that we're trying to attract, the old |
| 12 | that you can create a much more vibrant | 12 | customers and legacy customers that we have |
| 13 | environment in a lot of different activities, | 13 | love that as well. And so it's really a new |
| 14 | then I think that's much more sustainable. So | 14 | asset that both new and old customers can |
| 15 | from our standpoint, the Convention Center. | 15 | target. |
| 16 | More convention centers are built in the city, | 16 | From an IT perspective, part of our IT |
| 17 | that would be a positive. That drives people. | 17 | work is really to replace the legacy systems |
| 18 | It creates jobs. It creates a much more stable | 18 | that we have throughout our industry. Our IT |
| 19 | operating environment. So if competitors built | 19 | systems are very old. They're customized. |
| 20 | convention centers, I think we'd be pleased | 20 | They're inefficient. They haven't taken |
| 21 | with that. A lot of times you'd think that | 21 | advantage of a lot of technology. And so with |
| 22 | would be a negative because of competition, but | 22 | our new CIO that we have at the company who's |
| 23 | we think having critical mass here and having | 23 | really pushing this advancement, we're going to |
| 24 | the City get a little bit more known in terms | 24 | replace the majority of our systems over the |
| 25 | of a place to hold a great meeting, we think is | 25 | next few years. And that will facilitate the |
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| 2 | a positive. From the balance on the Boardwalk | 2 | ability to be much more dynamic. |
| 3 | perspective, the space is a little bit limited | 3 | Specifically what I was referring to |
| 4 | in terms of the ability to do a Convention | 4 | with the Casino of the Future, that's probably |
| 5 | Center as we did at Harrah's. So what we do is | 5 | geared a little bit to the younger generation, |
| 6 | just monitor the environment and decide what | 6 | mainly because they prefer to interact in that |
| 7 | the customers want and then try to invest | 7 | way, over the phones, with the apps and so |
| 8 | capital and modify our approach to satisfy | 8 | forth. But what we've fund right now, for |
| 9 | those customers. | 9 | example, we get about 85 percent of our |
| 10 | COMMISSION COOPER: Okay. | 10 | customers e-mails. So that's spans the entire |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you very | 11 | demographics that we have. And so I think if |
| 12 | much. | 12 | we create a compelling product that really adds |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: You talked about | 13 | value, I think it will be adopted by -- by |
| 14 | the technology upgrade both, you know, | 14 | everyone. |
| 15 | companywide and then for the properties | 15 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. Also and |
| 16 | particularly here in -- on the Boardwalk. Is | 16 | this may not be the appropriate question for |
| 17 | that intended to attract a different market? | 17 | you. |
| 18 | Is it to appeal to a younger demographic? Or | 18 | Maybe it was, you know, to you, sir. |
| 19 | is it, you know, sort of a universal | 19 | But you've announced the 11th member or |
| 20 | application? | 20 | you've identified the 11th member of the board? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. It -- again, it's a | 21 | MR. O'GARA: I don't think Eric knows |
| 22 | great question. As you know, our core customer | 22 | much about that. |
| 23 | provides a sizable percentage of our profits, | 23 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Oh, okay. |
| 24 | and namely, that's the core slot customer | 24 | MR. O'GARA: I think what Mr. Donovan |
| 25 | that's relative -- you know, loyal to our | 25 | said was that a group that had the right to |
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| 2 | identify the person -- | 2 | had more and more, they time out. In Indiana, |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Uh-hum. | 3 | Illinois they've all been done. We'll finish |
| 4 | MR. O'GARA: -- has identified that | 4 | in New Jersey. It's just that last terminal |
| 5 | person. And that person is going through the | 5 | date of that one approval. Everything then can |
| 6 | Caesars -- there's an internal process at | 6 | move, and it's -- I think as Eric would tell |
| 7 | Caesars besides the licensing process. That | 7 | you, it's all right. Now we've got it. We've |
| 8 | person is going through that process. When | 8 | satisfied the conditions. The merger is |
| 9 | they make it through that process, they will | 9 | approved. You can start that processes which |
| 10 | complete the forms and file at that time. | 10 | cause all this to happen, involving funding and |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. So maybe | 11 | everything else. You can't start it until you |
| 12 | you're the right person. | 12 | have it, and they take a certain number of days |
| 13 | MR. O'GARA: Yeah. I guess. | 13 | to do. So the idea is September 30, which in |
| 14 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Do you -- | 14 | accounting talk, means October 2nd. |
| 15 | MR. O'GARA: I try. | 15 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. And that |
| 16 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: -- anticipate us | 16 | just -- this will be my last question. But |
| 17 | considering that person in the August meeting? | 17 | if -- what is your calendar year? Is it |
| 18 | Maybe September? | 18 | October 1st? January 1st? |
| 19 | MR. O'GARA: I -- I think it's -- | 19 | THE WITNESS: It's January 1st. |
| 20 | assuming that the internal process at Caesars | 20 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. Right. |
| 21 | involves something like it does here. You fill | 21 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. But just due to the |
| 22 | out a form. The background -- assuming he goes | 22 | complexities of having to do a hard close and |
| 23 | through that, he gets it all in timely, look at | 23 | to do all the accounting that's going to happen |
| 24 | he or she -- I have no idea. | 24 | in this, it's lining up, if we can do it at the |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. Uh-hum. | 25 | end of the quarter, it's going to be ideal for |
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| 2 | That person. | 2 | the accounting folks. It doesn't necessarily |
| 3 | MR. O'GARA: Pretty quickly fill out the | 3 | have to be a year end, but a quarter-end close |
| 4 | forms and file here. Hopefully, we can do that | 4 | would be perfect for them. |
| 5 | in August so that we can have 11. But, again, | 5 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank |
| 6 | I think there was only identified to Mr. | 6 | you. |
| 7 | Donovan in the last few days. It's -- yeah. | 7 | CHAIR LEVINSON: So I have some |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Okay. | 8 | questions on the forecastings. If you looked |
| 9 | MR. O'GARA: We haven't had a secret | 9 | at some of the capital expenditures that the |
| 10 | because we didn't know we had it yet. | 10 | Division put together in their report? I |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Right. Because | 11 | didn't do it, so I don't know where a lot of |
| 12 | then you're -- I know a lot of the forecasting | 12 | the numbers come from. Because it seems to be |
| 13 | is just placeholders, but we had first thought | 13 | a lot of is -- some of them are the monies, |
| 14 | it was August 31st. Now the end of September, | 14 | even all the properties are CRDA funding the |
| 15 | beginning of October? | 15 | projects or capital improvements. Not all, but |
| 16 | MR. O'GARA: Yeah. That is for the | 16 | some of the investments that Caesars and |
| 17 | closing of the transactions. Yeah. The hope | 17 | Harrah's has done in Atlantic City have been |
| 18 | was -- but, again, some of that is dictated by | 18 | done on nongaming -- nongaming projects funded |
| 19 | external affairs, which is, you know, we've | 19 | by CRDA. A lot of that wasn't -- maybe |
| 20 | gone probably the most complicated process is | 20 | wouldn't have happened if it wasn't for the |
| 21 | here because we have all three of them. I | 21 | CRDA. With the changes of CRDA and the money |
| 22 | mean, the approvals in Maryland were very | 22 | that was available for nongaming projects, do |
| 23 | quick. They didn't have anything to approve. | 23 | you look at that in your forecasting as |
| 24 | But as you got -- because they didn't have -- | 24 | something that you know, your -- the company |
| 25 | the CEOC wasn't directly involved. As you've | 25 | itself is going to have to come up with the |

Guy J. Renzi \& Associates (609) 989-9199 www.renziassociates.com

|  | 154 |  | 156 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ERIC HESSION | 1 | ERIC HESSION |
| 2 | money for these projects that we all know that | 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Because you are seeing |
| 3 | need to be done, and it didn't seem they were | 3 | a lot of investment of capital, and you |
| 4 | done unless the CRDA was funding them. And now | 4 | discussed the Marina District. We all know |
| 5 | with the funding not coming into the properties | 5 | with the Marina District versus the Boardwalk |
| 6 | like they were, did you take a look at that | 6 | District, but you were seeing a lot more |
| 7 | with your forecasting, and how are you going to | 7 | investments into the Boardwalk properties |
| 8 | deal with that? | 8 | throughout the entire Boardwalk. And, you |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I'm not as in | 9 | know, the hope is to see that on the two |
| 10 | the details in terms of what projects are CRDA | 10 | properties that Caesars owns, also. |
| 11 | funded and which aren't historically. I know | 11 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes. |
| 12 | there was a big component of the Convention | 12 | CHAIR LEVINSON: In terms of your |
| 13 | Center. | 13 | forecasting, I know that you tend to look at |
| 14 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Right. | 14 | it. I don't -- in the Division's report it |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: And some of the room | 15 | seems that there's seven casinos that you are |
| 16 | renovations. | 16 | forecasting consideration of the plan expansion |
| 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Restaurants. | 17 | of your casino base. Have you taken a look at |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: And some of the | 18 | that with the possible anticipated opening of |
| 19 | restaurants. But going forward, we didn't | 19 | Hard Rock in your analysis? |
| 20 | include any the CRDA funds. If you take all | 20 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. In terms of |
| 21 | the placeholders and the CDS capital and add it | 21 | expanding our base? |
| 22 | all up, you get to that 225 , which is the | 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: So you're basing your |
| 23 | amount that the company would anticipate | 23 | forecasts on your EBITDAs and gross revenues. |
| 24 | deploying from the cash perspective. So to the | 24 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. |
| 25 | extent that that's higher or shifted, it's all | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: And, you know, and your |
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| 2 | company-funded money and none CRDA. | 2 | players, your growth. |
| 3 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Because it seems like | 3 | THE WITNESS: Uh-hum. |
| 4 | that money helped with your hurdles, the RIIs | 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: When I look at some of |
| 5 | with your projects, and without that money is | 5 | the analysis, it really only dealt with seven |
| 6 | there is a fear here that the capital | 6 | operating casinos at the time. |
| 7 | improvements would not be happening and maybe | 7 | THE WITNESS: That's true. Yeah. We |
| 8 | not in the future? Or do you think the | 8 | put the analysis together prior to when the |
| 9 | bankruptcy and coming out and having less debt | 9 | Hard Rock had made their announcement. Again, |
| 10 | and more money for capital will assist in that? | 10 | we'll ultimately have to see what the impact of |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I think it's very much the | 11 | that is. My concern, quite frankly, is that |
| 12 | latter. I think that during the previous | 12 | they will grow the market less than is |
| 13 | period when the company had very limited cash | 13 | anticipated, and that would create |
| 14 | resources, and also the Atlantic City market | 14 | cannibalization. Hopefully, that's not the |
| 15 | was continuing to contract very rapidly. It | 15 | case. Hopefully, that they have a very strong |
| 16 | created an environment where there was a lot of | 16 | database of customers that haven't been |
| 17 | risk in terms of investment. I think now that | 17 | visiting Atlantic City and that their |
| 18 | we've seen our properties have certainly -- in | 18 | deployment of capital will create a |
| 19 | our view, performed quite well over the last | 19 | differentiated product such that customers will |
| 20 | year. The markets for the last couple of years | 20 | want to come to try it out, and they'll grow |
| 21 | has been relatively stable in terms of the | 21 | the market, and I think that would be great for |
| 22 | gaming revenues. It creates a much more -- | 22 | everyone. |
| 23 | let's say, less risky environment. And then | 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Agreed. |
| 24 | that benefits the investment of capital for | 24 | And in terms of the rental and lease of |
| 25 | sure. | 25 | the individual properties themselves, I |
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| 2 | understand that it's one big pot, and there's | 2 | we look at our aggregate ability to generate |
| 3 | really no rental or lease payment that they're | 3 | cash and be able to make the lease payments. |
| 4 | going to have on a monthly basis or yearly | 4 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Because it won't be -- |
| 5 | basis or any other basis, but somewhere down | 5 | I mean, at the end, it won't be a fixed cost to |
| 6 | the line, the money is going to have to | 6 | the actual individual casinos themselves. |
| 7 | transfer to some form of rental expense or | 7 | THE WITNESS: That's right. Just to the |
| 8 | liability. | 8 | company. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 9 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Correct. |
| 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Because if you're | 10 | Commissioners, any other further |
| 11 | operating the facility, you need to know at | 11 | questions for the witness, Mr. Hession? |
| 12 | least what that expense to going to be to know | 12 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: No. Thank you |
| 13 | what kind of general revenue you're going to | 13 | very much. |
| 14 | have and what kind of expenses you want to | 14 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 15 | spend. So before it even comes to us, my | 15 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you very much. |
| 16 | understanding is -- or my guess is -- that you | 16 | Appreciate it. |
| 17 | know, you will be sitting with the operators so | 17 | Mr. O'Gara, any further witnesses? |
| 18 | they will at least know what kind of liability | 18 | MR. O'GARA: No. |
| 19 | that they can assume that are going to be on | 19 | CHAIR LEVINSON: When Mr. Hession steps |
| 20 | their books when it comes down to time of year | 20 | down, you can start your closing. |
| 21 | end or what their expenses can be if they want | 21 | MR. O'GARA: Thank you, Chairman. |
| 22 | to hit a certain operating number or net profit | 22 | We believe that today we've addressed |
| 23 | number. Is that -- that's where I'm -- a lot | 23 | the questions that were presented by these |
| 24 | of my confusion is, with not having that on the | 24 | Petitions. We previously dealt with, I think |
| 25 | books. How they're going to operate. | 25 | as we discussed here, you know, all the things |
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| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. So we'll definitely | 2 | that led up to it. I believe that we've |
| 3 | communicate with the operators if there is | 3 | satisfied all the technical conditions for the |
| 4 | going to be any impact on their balance sheet | 4 | issuance of the licenses for the technical |
| 5 | or on their P\&L with respect to the lease. But | 5 | approvals that we've sought. |
| 6 | from a practical standpoint, when we set the | 6 | I think you've heard from both Mr. |
| 7 | targets for each year in terms of where we | 7 | Donovan and Mr. Hession how the company will be |
| 8 | expect to see revenue growth and where our | 8 | organized. You've seen precisely how the -- on |
| 9 | EBITDA targets, that will -- the lease payments | 9 | a pro forma basis -- the new company looks |
| 10 | will not have an impact on that. So that will | 10 | financially. You can see the flexibility its |
| 11 | all be done pre-lease. Assuming, like we were | 11 | gained. And, hopefully, you've gotten some |
| 12 | talking about, as if there's no lease from the | 12 | insight from what Mr. Hession and Mr. Donovan |
| 13 | property level. Because, again, from an | 13 | said as to how they plan to deploy capital, how |
| 14 | aggregate perspective, when we look at it from | 14 | it will be deployed here, the factors that |
| 15 | the company, the only thing that matters is | 15 | going into it. The fact what each of these |
| 16 | that the company does better and that the | 16 | financials mean. What the placeholders are. |
| 17 | company can afford to invest and make that | 17 | And so understanding the kind of, again, I |
| 18 | lease payment. At the property specific level | 18 | understand the Chairman understanding the |
| 19 | we want to that realistic plans. We want to | 19 | complex accounting of how it deals with fixed |
| 20 | challenge management to do the best they can. | 20 | leases and REITs and matters that we hear like |
| 21 | But the lease payments, it's a fact. It's a | 21 | the incredible amount of intercompany debt that |
| 22 | fixed cost. And it's independent of how any | 22 | is being simply wiped off of these balance |
| 23 | particular property does. So it really won't | 23 | sheets are remarkably different. But, |
| 24 | come into play in terms of those decisions | 24 | hopefully, you've gotten some understanding of |
| 25 | except on an aggregate basis. And that's where | 25 | that, and we think that we've satisfied that |
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| 2 | and we ask for your approval so that we can | 2 | the time being, although VICI REIT has the |
| 3 | finally end what's been a very lengthy | 3 | right, pursuant to a call right agreement, to |
| 4 | bankruptcy for this company and merge CAC with | 4 | purchase the underlying assets of Harrah's |
| 5 | CEC. Thank you. | 5 | Atlantic City within five years of the |
| 6 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 6 | effective date. |
| 7 | MS. BERMAN: I previously have addressed | 7 | As part of the review of the |
| 8 | the casino licensure of nonCPLV Manager and | 8 | reorganization, Petitioners have requested that |
| 9 | approval of the MLSA in my remarks and rely on | 9 | the Commission find the following intermediary |
| 10 | them. | 10 | and holding companies of the potential casino |
| 11 | The Division has conducted an extensive | 11 | licensees qualified: CEC, CEOC, LLC, Caesars |
| 12 | investigation, review, and analysis of the | 12 | World, LLC, and Caesars New Jersey, LLC. |
| 13 | transactions involved in the plan | 13 | CEC and the predecessors in interest of |
| 14 | restructuring, including a new entity, | 14 | the other three entities have already been |
| 15 | conversion, and mergers, the merger of CAC with | 15 | qualified as they're holding intermediary |
| 16 | and into CEC, changes to the board of directors | 16 | companies of casino license Boardwalk Regency |
| 17 | of CEC, the issuance of casino licenses to | 17 | Corporation. CEC and the predecessor in |
| 18 | Boardwalk Regency, LLC, and Bally's Park Place, | 18 | interest in CEC, LLC, were also qualified as |
| 19 | LLC, successor licensees for Caesars and | 19 | holding and intermediary companies of casino |
| 20 | Bally's Atlantic City, and the financial | 20 | licensee Bally's Park Place, Inc. |
| 21 | stability of the successor licensees following | 21 | Following the merger of CAC with and |
| 22 | the restructuring. | 22 | into CEC, CEC will remain the qualified parent |
| 23 | We have prepared a report detailing our | 23 | holding company of the Atlantic City casino |
| 24 | findings, admitted into evidence as D-3 which | 24 | licensees. While both the both ownership of |
| 25 | was filed on June 23rd. | 25 | CEOC itself and will change and the ownership |
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| 2 | As the Commission is aware from the | 2 | of some of its assets will change as it |
| 3 | submissions, bankruptcy filings, and testimony, | 3 | transfers them to VICI REIT, there will be no |
| 4 | consummation of the plan will result in a new | 4 | change in the operation, control, or operating |
| 5 | ownership structure for Caesars. As stated, | 5 | accounts of CEOC, and it merges into CEOC, LLC. |
| 6 | part of the plan involves a spinoff of certain | 6 | CEOC will continue as the intermediary |
| 7 | real property -- | 7 | company of holding company of Caesars and |
| 8 | (There was a cell phone interruption.) | 8 | Bally's. The other two entities, Caesars |
| 9 | MS. BERMAN: -- known as VICI REIT. | 9 | World, LLC, and Caesars New Jersey, LLC, are |
| 10 | Consequently, after effectuation of the plan, | 10 | essentially remaining the same entities as |
| 11 | much of the real estate assets of the | 11 | their predecessor after conversion to limited |
| 12 | previously owned and operated CEOC properties | 12 | liability companies and will continue as |
| 13 | will be owned by VICI REIT. VICI REIT will | 13 | intermediary holding companies of Caesars |
| 14 | mostly be owned by current creditors and note | 14 | Atlantic City. |
| 15 | holders of the debtors. | 15 | In addition to finding the entities |
| 16 | With respect to New Jersey, this means | 16 | qualified, certain individuals affiliated with |
| 17 | that CEOC will be continue to own and operate | 17 | them must be qualified. CEC, as you have |
| 18 | the successor entities to current casino | 18 | heard, is expected to have 11 members of its |
| 19 | licensees Boardwalk Regency Corporation and | 19 | new board of directors, 10 of whom have been |
| 20 | Bally's Park Place, Inc. With the real estate | 20 | identified as of today. All 10 now have been |
| 21 | assets for those properties leased from VICI | 21 | plenary qualified or temporarily qualified by |
| 22 | REIT pursuant to the Master Lease which the | 22 | the Commission. |
| 23 | Commission approved on May 10th. | 23 | All of the named officers and directors |
| 24 | With respect to Harrah's Atlantic City, | 24 | of CEOC, LLC, Caesars World, LLC, and Caesars |
| 25 | CERP will continue to own and operate it for | 25 | New Jersey, LLC, are presently qualified by |
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| 2 | virtue of their current positions with CEC or | 2 | will be merged with and into Boardwalk Regency, |
| 3 | CEOC. | 3 | LLC, and Bally's Park Place, LLC. Although the |
| 4 | The Division has further conducted a | 4 | names and forms of the entities will change |
| 5 | financial stability review of Caesars Atlantic | 5 | from corporations to limited liability |
| 6 | City and Bally's Atlantic City in connection | 6 | companies, there are no substantive changes |
| 7 | with the restructuring transactions. The plan | 7 | contemplated, which would affect casino |
| 8 | eliminates approximately 91 percent of CEOC's | 8 | operations or otherwise impact the eligibility |
| 9 | debt, which is about $\$ 16.8$ billion. That will | 9 | of either casino licensee. And the successor |
| 10 | result in a reduction in annual interest and | 10 | entities will continue to meet the criteria of |
| 11 | other payments of approximately 54 percent, | 11 | holding casino licenses under Section 82D of |
| 12 | equaling savings of approximately \$863 | 12 | the Act. |
| 13 | million. | 13 | Similarly as to the individuals |
| 14 | While the restructuring clearly benefits | 14 | connected with the successor licensees, all of |
| 15 | CEC and CEOC, the Division has noted its | 15 | the key qualifiers of the CEC successor |
| 16 | concerns as to how the reorganization may | 16 | licensees will stay in place and remain |
| 17 | affect the Atlantic City licensees, Caesars and | 17 | qualified. The successor licensees have |
| 18 | Bally's. | 18 | demonstrated the ability to assume operations |
| 19 | For the eight years ending in 2016, the | 19 | at Caesars and Bally's Atlantic City and to |
| 20 | total capital expenditures at Caesars and | 20 | assure continuity of casino operations at both |
| 21 | Bally's Atlantic City was 46 percent less than | 21 | properties if the Commissioner affirmatively |
| 22 | the average amount invested by the nonCEC | 22 | determines financial stability. |
| 23 | casino properties currently operating in | 23 | In conclusion, the transactions will |
| 24 | Atlantic City. The relatively low level of | 24 | allow CEOC and other debtors to continue |
| 25 | capital expenditures is, in the Division's | 25 | operating with a lower debt amount and debt |
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| 2 | view, one reason that the net revenue recovery | 2 | service obligations than the debt and |
| 3 | for Caesars and Bally's in Atlantic City for | 3 | obligations which have limited its financial |
| 4 | 2008 has lagged well behind their competitors | 4 | flexibility. |
| 5 | in the Atlantic City market. Data provided to | 5 | Based on our analysis and the testimony |
| 6 | the Division projects minimal spending at the | 6 | presented today, the Division believes that |
| 7 | Atlantic City properties for the remainder of | 7 | operational changes and capital improvements |
| 8 | this year or next year. The Division, | 8 | are needed in order to improve Caesars' and |
| 9 | therefore, had concerns and questions about | 9 | Bally's competitive positions and market shares |
| 10 | capital expenditures plans and capital | 10 | in Atlantic City. |
| 11 | improvement plans that were addressed in | 11 | For this reason, the Division has |
| 12 | testimony today. | 12 | recommended a condition be imposed requiring |
| 13 | The Division has conducted the requisite | 13 | Caesars to submit to the Division detailed |
| 14 | investigation and analysis regarding the | 14 | capital expenditure plans and operational |
| 15 | Commission's issuance of casino licenses to | 15 | improvement plans for Atlantic City licenses, |
| 16 | successor casino licensees Boardwalk Regency, | 16 | with quarterly progress reports provided |
| 17 | LLC, and Bally's Park Place, LLC. | 17 | thereafter. |
| 18 | As you are aware, the current licensees, | 18 | Overall, based on our analysis and the |
| 19 | Boardwalk Regency Corporation and Bally's Park | 19 | testimony at this hearing, the Division has |
| 20 | Place, Inc., were subject to resubmission | 20 | concluded that the restructuring should enable |
| 21 | investigations in 2013. In 2014, the Division | 21 | the Atlantic City casino licensees to continue |
| 22 | notified the Commission that there were no | 22 | to meet the financial stability requirements of |
| 23 | issues on which any hearings was required, and | 23 | the Act. |
| 24 | the their casino licenses remained in effect. | 24 | The Division submits that based on our |
| 25 | Pursuant to the plan, these casino licensees | 25 | reports and the testimony you heard today |
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| 2 | addressing capital expenditures and operational | 2 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Welcome back. |
| 3 | improvement plans, the Commission has the | 3 | Commissioners, any further questions? |
| 4 | information needed to approve the restructuring | 4 | (No response.) |
| 5 | transactions, to find the required entities and | 5 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Caesars Entertainment |
| 6 | individuals qualified, and to determine that | 6 | and two of its Atlantic City licenses -- |
| 7 | the successor licensees should be able to | 7 | Caesars and Bally's -- are before us today to |
| 8 | continue to meet the financial stability | 8 | approve the implementation of a complex |
| 9 | requirements under the Act of the | 9 | organization plan which is approved by the US |
| 10 | restructuring. | 10 | Bankruptcy Court on January 17, 2017. As in |
| 11 | In considering all the information | 11 | any case involving entities that file for |
| 12 | previously submitted, as well as the | 12 | Chapter 11, financial stability is a |
| 13 | information and testimony presented today, the | 13 | significant issue in reviewing reorganizational |
| 14 | Division recommends that the Commission grant | 14 | plan. All of the detailed provisions were |
| 15 | Petitioners' requests for approval of the | 15 | spelled out in filings in the proposed |
| 16 | reorganization of CEOC, approval of the merger | 16 | resolution before us. |
| 17 | of CEOC with and into CEC, and issue casino | 17 | In short, let me say Caesars proposes to |
| 18 | licenses to Boardwalk Regency, LLC, and Bally's | 18 | transfer the Caesars and Bally's properties in |
| 19 | Park Place, LLC, on the same terms and | 19 | Atlantic City and a variety of other Caesars |
| 20 | conditions as their predecessors in interest | 20 | properties around the country into a real |
| 21 | Boardwalk Regency Corporation and Bally's Park | 21 | estate investment trust, REIT, called VICI |
| 22 | Place, Inc., subject to the conditions as | 22 | Properties, Inc. We heard testimony today that |
| 23 | modified by the parties. Sorry. Subject to | 23 | the subsidiaries of VICI REIT will own the |
| 24 | the conditions in our report as modified by the | 24 | casino hotels and lease them to new Caesars |
| 25 | parties. | 25 | subsidiaries. |
|  | 171 |  | 173 |
| 1 | ITEM 10/11 | 1 | ITEM 10/11 |
| 2 | Although the Division will begin the | 2 | One of the requests before us today is |
| 3 | requisite full resubmission investigations of | 3 | to issue casino licenses to those new Caesars |
| 4 | casino licensees Boardwalk Regency, LLC, | 4 | subsidiaries and to apply the same terms and |
| 5 | Bally's Park Place, LLC, and Harrah's Atlantic | 5 | conditions that are on the existing licenses. |
| 6 | City in late 2017, the Division will | 6 | Caesars is also seeking a license for a new |
| 7 | continually and closely monitor the | 7 | entity to manage the properties. |
| 8 | transactions and entities discussed today and | 8 | To implement the reorganization, Caesars |
| 9 | will take whatever action is necessary to | 9 | also needs an approval of the plan, approval of |
| 10 | ensure the continued financial stability of the | 10 | the Management Lease Service Agreement, and |
| 11 | Caesars entities. | 11 | approval of the merger of the two of the |
| 12 | Thank you. | 12 | Caesars entities. To get a casino license, an |
| 13 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. | 13 | applicant has to prove, among other things, |
| 14 | Commissioners, no other further | 14 | that is a holding -- that it is -- it and its |
| 15 | questions for counsel? | 15 | holding and intermediary companies and other |
| 16 | (No response.) | 16 | qualifiers are financial stable, and it must |
| 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: I was planning on | 17 | maintain that financial stability in order to |
| 18 | having a recess. I do not need one. I mean, | 18 | keep that license. |
| 19 | unless the other Commissioner or General | 19 | Caesars' parent company was purchased in |
| 20 | Counsel -- | 20 | 2008 in a deal resulting in a debt load of \$25 |
| 21 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Ten minutes, please. | 21 | billion. And after review, the Commission |
| 22 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Ten minutes? I'll see | 22 | ruled it would be financial stable with that |
| 23 | everyone back here at 45 . | 23 | increased debt load. Since then, New Jersey |
| 24 | (A recess was taken from 1:31 to 1:46 | 24 | regulators have approved 16 additional material |
| 25 | p.m.) | 25 | debt transactions. Each time, Caesars was |
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|  | 174 |  | 176 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITEM 10/11 | 1 | ITEM 10/11 |
| 2 | afforded wide latitude to manage its affairs | 2 | today. Taken together, the testimony and the |
| 3 | with the expectation that it would we reducing | 3 | evidence submitted present a picture of a |
| 4 | its strangling debt and grow its bottom line, | 4 | company that I am guardedly optimistic is able |
| 5 | but it could not do so without seeking | 5 | to successfully emerge from bankruptcy and grow |
| 6 | protection under Chapter 11 of the US | 6 | its Atlantic City gaming operations. |
| 7 | Bankruptcy Code. We have heard today that upon | 7 | With that being said, I move to adopt |
| 8 | implementation of the reorganizational plan, | 8 | the Draft Resolution for Petition No. 1301701 |
| 9 | the Caesars entities will have $\$ 16$ billion less | 9 | and grant the relief requested in the Petition, |
| 10 | debt and \$807 million less in annual interest | 10 | subject to and to the extent set forth in the |
| 11 | and lease payments. That alone significantly | 11 | findings and rulings and subject to the |
| 12 | improves the financial conditions of Caesars | 12 | conditions provided in the Resolution. |
| 13 | entities. | 13 | Do I have a second for this one? |
| 14 | In addition to the lower interest | 14 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: I'll second |
| 15 | expense after it emerges from bankruptcy, the | 15 | that. |
| 16 | company projects moderate growth for its | 16 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion on this? |
| 17 | Atlantic City casinos over the next few years. | 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | But capital improvements to the two properties, | 18 | CHAIR LEVINSON: This is a roll call |
| 19 | which have been meager for a number of years, | 19 | vote. |
| 20 | are budgeted to be less. It is hard to see how | 20 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? |
| 21 | the business can grow in this competitive | 21 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. |
| 22 | market with the bare minimum of capital | 22 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? |
| 23 | investment. I share the Division's concern in | 23 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. |
| 24 | this area, and I look forward to seeing exactly | 24 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? |
| 25 | what improvements are planned for the | 25 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yes. |
|  | 175 |  | 177 |
| 1 | ITEM 10/11 | 1 | ITEM 10/11 |
| 2 | properties. | 2 | It passes. |
| 3 | Although the Harrah's Atlantic City | 3 | Likewise, I move to adopt the Draft |
| 4 | property is not a part of the matter before us, | 4 | Resolution for Petition No. 1431702 subject to |
| 5 | the company had made significant investments in | 5 | the terms and conditions specified therein. |
| 6 | recent years in the Harrah's Conference Center | 6 | Is there a second on this one? |
| 7 | and other improvements to keep the property | 7 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: I'll second that. |
| 8 | attractive and competitive. This is the kind | 8 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Any discussion? |
| 9 | of investment that is needed, and we look | 9 | (No response.) |
| 10 | forward to tangible evidence of Caesars' | 10 | CHAIR LEVINSON: This, too, is a roll |
| 11 | ongoing commitment to the Atlantic City market | 11 | call vote. |
| 12 | at all of its properties. | 12 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Commissioner Cooper? |
| 13 | In conclusion, I note that the Division | 13 | COMMISSIONER COOPER: Yes. |
| 14 | has conducted an extensive investigation into | 14 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: Vice Chair Harrington? |
| 15 | the Petition before us, including a financial | 15 | VICE CHAIR HARRINGTON: Yes. |
| 16 | stability analysis of the licensees under the | 16 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: And Chairman Levinson? |
| 17 | proposed reorganizational plan. The Division | 17 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Yes. |
| 18 | has recommended a number of conditions be | 18 | It passes. |
| 19 | imposed in order to ensure Caesars' ongoing | 19 | MS. FAUNTLEROY: In accordance with |
| 20 | compliance with its statutory obligations, and | 20 | Resolution 16-12-07-03, the next closed session |
| 21 | I would incorporate those into any approval we | 21 | shall be held on Wednesday, August 9, 2017, at |
| 22 | grant today. | 22 | 9:30 a.m. in the Commission offices. |
| 23 | I've extensively reviewed the | 23 | CHAIR LEVINSON: Thank you. |
| 24 | Petitioner's filings, the Division's report, | 24 | This is the part of the meeting of the |
| 25 | and considered the testimony of the witnesses | 25 | public participation. Anyone from the public |
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